# MATERIALS FOR A FLORA OF TURKEY XXXIII: EUPHRASIA

P. F. YEO\*

ABSTRACT. Various aspects of the taxonomy of Euphrasia (Scrophulariaceae) species in Turkey and adjacent regions are discussed. One new subspecies (E. minima DC. subsp. davisii P.F. Yeo) is described; there are remarks on various misidentifications of Turkish taxa, the hybrid populations on Ulu Dag, the series Petiolares, the phytogeography of the genus in Turkey, and three little-understood Caucasian species.

During the preparation of the account of Euphrasia for Flora of Turkey vol. 6, various items of general interest came to light. Because many of them cannot be fully dealt with in the Flora, I have taken the opportunity of discussing them here, at the same time as describing one new subspecies. All specimens cited below have been examined, unless otherwise indicated.

A full account of each species recognised for the Flora of Turkey (10 in all), and of those Caucasian species of which I have examined adequate material, together with notes on most other Caucasian Euphrasia, has been prepared in typescript; copies are available on request.

## SOME ERRONEOUS RECORDS

Euphrasia campestris Jord. This was recorded by Handel-Mazzetti (1909) from Trabzon (Kalanema Dere near Aktsche Abad, Hand.-Mazz. 402). The taxon is treated in Flora Europaea (Yeo, 1972) as a subspecies of E. rost-koviana Hayne, but in my opinion the Turkish gathering represents E. rostkoviana subsp. rostkoviana. There are several other examples of the latter from Trabzon.

E. drosocalys Freyn. Recorded from two areas: Kayseri and Bursa. Penther & Zederbauer (1907) recorded it (and E. pectinata) from B5, Kayseri: Erdschias Da. Their material was determined by Wettstein but I have seen only specimens of E. pectinata. Wettstein (1896) also identified specimens at Berlin (B) from Bithynian Olympus as E. drosocally, but I have not seen these. Later specimens from this area [A2(A) Bursa: Ulu Da., Davis 14785 and 14800] were also assigned to this species (Davis, 1932). All these gatherings appear to me to be E. hirtella Jord. ex Reut., in a rather atypical form, mixed (in the case of D. 14800) with a glandular-hairy state of E. minima Jacq. ex CDC. (see below). E. drosocalyx was described from the European Alps and is a problematical entity (Wettstein, 1896), being similar to E. minima but with long glandular hairs on the leaves.

<sup>\*</sup> University Botanic Garden, Cambridge, CB2 1JF, England.

E. willkommii Freyn. This species was originally described from the Sierra Nevada of S Spain. Wettstein (1896) cited three gatherings of it from Turkey:

Bithynian Olympus—not seen by me but possibly E. salisburgensis; Taurus, Kotschy (no. 286, Bulgar Da., Bulgar Magara, distributed as E. minimat, K. M)—I consider this to be a dwarf form of E. pectinata Ten; Ghei Da. 6000 ft, Heldreich (K, CGE)—this and other gatherings by Heldreich from the same mountain (Geyik Da.) all represent a dwarf form of E. pectinata. No other Turkish specimens that I have seen can be assigned to E. minimat, q.v. In my unpublished revision of Euphrasia in Europe, I have placed E. will-kommi in series Petiolares, which is centred in the Caucasus and discussed below.

E. salisburgensis Funck. Boissier (1879) recorded this species from the Cilician Tarrus (Kostely, Baldmas), Mt Anemas in Lycaonia (Heldreich) and Tokat in N Anatolia (Wiedemann). None of these records was accepted or recognised by Wettstein (1896) who did not indicate the occurrence of the species in Turkey at all. The Mt Anemas specimens (BM, CGE) are E. pecinata, as are Balansa's 1855 specimens (BM, E) from Gülek-Maden in the Taurus. E. salisburgensis is now known on Ulu Da. (Bursa) (Davis, 1952).

#### A NEW SUBSPECIES

Euphrasia minima Jacq. ex DC. was described from the European Alps. It is a dwarf, sparingly branched alpine species with rather broad leaves and capsules and very small corollas. It has been divided into two subspecies (Yeo, 1972): minima in the Pyrenees and Alps; and tatrae in the Tatra and Carpathian Mountains. In Turkey, Boissier (1879) cited Kotschy 286 (mentioned above under E. willkommii) as E. minima. Wettstein (1896) cited Heldreich's specimens from "Mons Anemas Lycaoniae" as E. minima but most of this material is dwarfed E. pectinata; however, on a sheet at Edinburgh, E. minima is also present. Another collection comprising the same mixture was made on Bulgar Magara by Siehe in 1895 and distributed as E. minima. Compared with the plants of E. pectinata, the E. minima plants in this gathering have blackened more on drying, are more mature, and have a different leaf-shape. Much better-developed specimens of E. minima have since been collected in three regions of Turkey, and as they seem rather different from either of the two European subspecies, and are geographically distinct, they are considered to deserve separate subspecific recognition.

E. minima Jacq. ex DC. (Fl. Fr., ed. 3,3:473, 1805) subsp. davisii P. F. Yeo, subsp. nov.

Ab E. minima subsp. minima et tatrae (Wettst.) Hayek foliis caulinis superioribus et floralibus inferioribus dentium non (nisi rarissime) ultra-t pares gerentibus atque dentibus foliorum floralium inferiorum incurvatis recedit; insuper ab E. minima subsp. minima foliis et petiolis validioribus et a subsp. tatrae dentibus foliorum superiorum minus acuminatis differentipus foliorum superiorum superiorum superioru

Turkey. A2(A) Bursa, Ulu Da: 1700 m, by stream, granite, 12 ix 1947, Davis 14800 (K) [mixed gathering with E. hirtella]; 4-15 ix 1957, Rechinger 15383 (W); Kirazli Y., 1500 m, 5 ix 1975, A. Baytop 33730 (E) [mixed with E. hirtella and E. peetinatal; old way to Maden, 2000 m, 5 ix 1975, A. Baytop 33717 (E) [with one plant E. hirtella of on hybrid]. A8 Trabzon: N side of Soganli Da., above (aykara, 2000–2200 m, steep lush alpine meadow, Bowers white, 4 viii 1957, Davis & Hedge, D.32158 (BM, E). Rize:Ikizdere, Başkoy (Cimil)—Cermanin Y., 2500 m, pasture, flowers white, 28 viii 1952, Davis & Dodds, D.21022 (holo. E; iso. BM),C3 [sparta: in pratis humidis reg. alpinae m. Anémas Lycaoniae, alt. 7000 ft., viii 1845, Heldreich (E) [mixed with a dwarf form of E. pectinatar; in some herbaria this collection consists only of E. pectinatal]. C4 Antalya: Sobitçimen Y. at N foot of Geyik Da., 2000 m, edge of stream, flowers white with yellow throat, 1 ix 1947, Davis 14680 (K). C5 [cel: Bulghar Magara, 2700 m, feuchte moorige Orte, viii 1895, Stehe 296 (E, K) [mixed with dwarf form of E. pectinatal].

In addition to the characters given in the diagnosis, E. minima subsp. minima, the first flower is produced at the 3rd to 6th node (2nd-3th in subsp. minima,) and the leaves are more rounded than in subsp. minima). The maximum number of leaf-teeth is normally 3 or 4 pairs, depending on the population (5 is not uncommon in the two European subspecies, and 6 is known in subsp. natrae). However, 5 pairs of teeth have been found in some plants of Baytop 33722 from Ulu Da. Whereas European E. minima usually has somewhat hairy leaves, these are almost glabrous in the Turkish material except for Ulu Da. specimens, in which they are glandular-hairy.

Two gatherings of E. minima subsp. davisii from the Taurus have previously been assigned to E. willkommii: Siehe 1895:296 by Pugsley (K) and Davis 14680 by the collector.

E. minima subsp. davisii is involved in the complex of hybrids on Ulu Da., as described below.

# HYBRIDS ON ULU DAĞ

Because of the problematical nature of the first gatherings I saw from the problem of the proble

Some of Prof. Baytop's samples appear to include the hybrid E. minima subsp. davisit x E. pectinata (A. Baytop 33685, 33694 and 33722). The plants are small and glandular-hairy, like E. minima, but with longer internodes and narrower leaves and leaf-teeth, while some have 3 or 4 pairs of branches arising near the base, unlike either presumed parent.

Any crossing between E. hirtella and the other Euphrasia species on the mountain would be expected to lead to the production of sterile triploids. However, Yeo (1956) has adduced circumstantial evidence for introgressive hybridization from tetraploid to diploid, sometimes leading to the establishment of new diploid microspecies. The implication of the new Baytop material is that E. hirtella has undergone such introgression, probably from the hybrid E. minima x E. pectinata (A. Baytop 33694, p.p.). Whereas in this gathering it is possible to distinguish the apparently introgressed E. hirtella from the E. minima x E. pectinata (and a single plant of E. pectinata), in A. Baytop 33685 the plants are more uniform, and if the same introgressant and primary hybrid are present they have converged more and cannot be recognised. The possibility cannot be excluded that genes also pass from diploids into tetraploids and this might account for the glandulosity of E. minima in this area and the morphological uniformity of no. 33685. However, Yeo (1956) was not able to find clear evidence of introgression in this direction in British Euphrasiae.

It may be mentioned that despite its glandular-hairy foliage, E. minima subsp. davisti on Ulu Da. can be distinguished from E. hirtella there in having shorter and less dense glandular hairs, brighter green leaves (in the dried state), shorter upper internodes, shorter calyx in relation to capsule, and differences in leaf-shape.

## TURKISH PLANTS RESEMBLING E. NEMOROSA

I have encountered two such plants in herbaria. One is represented by a Balansa specimen labelled "Euphrasia rigidula Jord.? (Boiss.). Environs de Rhize (Lazistan). Terrains argillocalcaires. Juillet, Septembre". This gathering was commented on by Wettstein (1896, p. 126), who also furnished the collecting number 799 (absent from specimen in K). Wettstein considered that it was very similar to E. nemorosa (Pers.) Wallr. but he could not be certain of its systematic position owing to the absence of fruit. The Kew specimens include a fruiting plant, and were evidently collected on different dates, as indicated by the label. On account of their large corollas, short internodes, and (in some plants) large number of branching nodes, they show a quite remarkable resemblance to E. pseudokerneri Pugsl., a calcicolous British endemic closely related to E. nemorosa. It seems improbable (but not impossible) that this species could occur at Rize, and it would also be rather surprising if E. nemorosa did, since even that is north-west European in distribution, extending to Austria and Bohemia. The node of the first flower ranges in the Kew specimen from 9 to 13 or 14, which alone distinguishes it from any other Turkish species of Euphrasia.

There is a remote possibility that Balansa's plant is an aberrant eglandular state of *E. rostkoviana* Hayne, which does occur in this part of Turkey.

A similarly late-flowering specimen is that of René du Parquet, Herbarium Byzantinum: Hauteurs du Ichatel Dagh (Çatal Da.?), Anatolie, Mai 1865 (BM). These plants, with the habit of E. nemorosa and foliage approaching that of E. stricta Lehm., have begun flowering at the 12th or 13th node and have produced up to 10 flowering nodes. This is most unlikely to have been achieved by May and the labelling is therefore suspect.

### SERIES PETIOLARES IN TURKEY

I have stated above that I believe E. willkommii Freyn to be a member of this series but that it does not occur in Turkey.

Turkish material which can truly be assigned to ser. Petiolares Pugsley can be classified into large-flowered and small-flowered, and into round-leaved and angular-leaved (with acute leaf-teeth) groups. The four groups can be equated with five previously described species, four of which have not until now been recorded outside the USSR. The species are E. petiolaris Wettst., which is typified by a Turkish specimen; E. lebardensis Kem.-Nath., E. sevamensis Juz. and E. juzepczukii Denis. (both of which also occur in Iran—Yeo, unpublished); and E. mblyodonta Juz. E. lebardensis is large-flowered and round-leaved, E. petiolaris is large-flowered and angular-leaved, E. sevamensis and E. implyodonta are both small-flowered and round-leaved, and E. juzepczukii is small-flowered and angular-leaved.

The Turkish material amounts to a comparatively small number of gate frings, and some of these represent dwarf or immature states. Further, there is some variation within the groups and some lack of agreement with Soviet material. In this situation it has not been possible to recognise in Turkey any species clearly distinct from the Soviet ones, and the only feasible treatment is to fit them into the latter as far as possible. Accordingly, it is to be borne in mind that further knowledge could lead to a revision of this treatment.

The centre of diversity of ser. Petiolares is the Caucasus; NE Turkey and N Iran form the southern border of this centre. Outlying species occur in Spain, the Crimea, and Central Asia. Some of the Caucasian species are discussed below.

### PHYTOGEOGRAPHY

The distributions of all the Euphrasia species in Turkey fit in with previously known patterns.

On Ulu Da., in XW Turkey, we find E. salisburgensis, a mainly S European species. Here also are two European species which are found elsewhere in Turkey, E. minima and E. hirtella. E. minima has a particularly interesting distribution, since it is a European element in the eastern part of the Eurosiberian region comparable to Polygala alpestris mentioned by Davis (1965, p. 18), but is also found in the Taurus. Possibly it will be found to occur on the Anatolian Diagonal. E. hirtella presents a case of a distribution gap in Paphlagonia, the occurrence of which is mentioned by Davis (Le.).

Most of the records of E. hirtella, and all those of its close ally, E. rostkoviana, are from the eastern part of the Euro-Siberian region. The occurrence of both species in this area can be regarded as an extension of their Caucasian ranges, as both are widespread in that area.

The species so far mentioned occur in montane, subalpine or alpine habitats in C Europe, escaping from these levels only in outlying localities in N and W Europe (E. salishwagensis) or in certain specialised damp habitats or low-lying Atlantic hill-country (E. rostkoviana). This is an expression of the requirement of all species for comparatively cool, moist summers. This preference is also shown by our only other European species. E. pectinata.



Fig. 1. Distribution of Euphrasia pectinata in Turkey, S Transcaucasus and Iran-

The distribution in Turkey and neighbouring areas shows its almost total restriction to mountainous areas (fig. 1) with field notes often indicating a damp habitat. Nevertheless, as a European species *E. pectinata* has a more southerly distribution and an evident tolerance for hotter conditions than most others of the genus. Its chief occurrences are on the southern side of the Alps, and in those mountains that lie at lower latitudes than the Alps, and it is a member of the well-known xerothermic associations near Martigny, in the Rhône valley of Switzerland (Wettstein, 1896, cited as Branson).

## NOTES ON SOME CAUCASIAN SPECIES

E. rostkoviana Hayne [Darst. Beschr. Arzn. Gewächse 9: t.7 (1825)] subsp. rostkoviana

This taxon does not appear in the 2nd edition of Grossheim's Flora Karkaza (Kemularia-Nathadze, 1967), but I recognise it from the Ciscaucasus (distr. Klukhori), W Transcaucasus (Abchasia) and E Transcaucasus (S Ossetia). The specimens (TBI) had been determined as E. hirtella which also occurs in the Caucasus region.

E. macrodonta Juz. in Spis. Rast. Herb. Fl. URSS 11:152 (1040).

Related to E. petiolaris (including E. amblyodonta Chab.) but differing in its more numerous branches and narrower leaves with deeper and finer, often aristate teeth. The difference is of a kind which can occur ecotypically in Euphrasia, and I have seen too few specimens to judge whether E. macrodonta is satisfactorily distinct. Juzepezuk himself (1955) expressed doubt thus "Perhaps only a local form of [E. amblyodonta], otherwise sufficiently well distinguished from that in the character of the dentation of the floral leaves". One Turkish collection (Fuze 3940, A8, Trabon: Soganil Pass, 1500 m, 16 viii 1962) is late-flowering, with up to 5 pairs of primary branches and small sharply toothed leaves, and thus approaches E. macrodonta.

E. ossica Juz. in Spis. Rast. Herb. Fl. URSS 11:152 (1949).

This is very similar to E. lebardensis Kem.-Nath., differing mainly in its smaller corollas, 5:5-6:5(-7) mm long instead of (6:5-)7-8:5 mm. It might be appropriate to treat the two as subspecies of E. ossica, which is the earlier name. However, it would not be wise to do this without a fuller knowledge than I have of other Caucasian species, namely E. woronowii Juz., E. deno-caulon Juz., and E. kemulariae Juz. In any reconsideration of the taxa related to E. ossica, E. sevanensis (accepted as occurring in Turkey) would be involved, as its distinctions from E. ossica are not very firm.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to Professor A. Baytop for the special collection of Euphrasia from Ulu Dağ, and to the authorities at the following herbaria for the loan of specimens: BM, CGE, E, K, LE, M, TBI, W, WU.

## REFERENCES

BOISSIER, E. (1879). Flora Orientalis, 4. Geneva.

DAVIS, P. H. (1952). Additamenta ad floram Anatoliae, 3. Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 21:61-98.

-ed., (1965). Flora of Turkey 1. Edinburgh University Press.

HANDEL-MAZZETTI, H. F. (1909). Ergebnisse einer botanischen Reise in das Pontische Randgebirge im Sandschak Trapezunt. Ann. Nat. Hofmus. Wien 23:6-212.

JUZEPCZUK, S. (1955). Euphrasia, in Komarov, Flora URSS 22:557-640. KEMULARIA-NATHADZE, L. M. (1967). Euphrasia, in A. A. Grossheim,

Flora Kavkaza ed. 2, 7:530-538.

PENTHER, A. & ZEDERBAUER, E. (1907). Ergebnisse einer naturwissenschaftlichen Reise zum Erdschias-Dagh (Kleinasien). If Botanischer Teil, von E. Zederbauer. Am. Nat. Hofmus. Wien 20:359-464.

WETTSTEIN, R. von (1896). Monographie der Gättung Euphrasia. Leipzig. Yeo, P. F. (1956). Hybridisation between diploid and tetraploid species of Euphrasia. Watsonia 3:253–269.

— (1970). New chromosome counts in Euphrasia. Candollea 25:21-24.
— (1972). Euphrasia, in Tutin et al., eds, Flora Europaea 3:257-266.