Moultonia.

A New Genus of Gesneraceae from Borneo.

BY

PROFESSOR BAYLEY BALFOUR, F.R.S.,

AND

W. W. SMITH, M.A.

With Plates CXLVI-CXLVII.

Moultonia, Balf. fil. et W. W. Sm. Genus novum.

Genus Gesneracearum ex affinitate Monophyllaeae, R. Br. et Epithematis, Bl.; floribus petiolo et costae folii unici insidentibus, calyce quadrifido, fructu quasi-calyptrato e calyce tandem paulo protruso inter alia recedit.

Herba robusta folio unico magno cordato-ovato vel cordatooblongo longe petiolato praedita. Pedunculi brevissimi lati; pedicelli breves; bracteae bracteolaeque minimae. Flores parvi numerosi haud regulariter distributi plerumque approximati, umbellis paucifloris fere sessilibus e sulco petioli et costa media uniseriatim orientes. Calyx alte 4-fidus lobis oblongis subcoriaceis paulo imbricatis intus pulvinulo calcario praeditis. Corolla parva; tubus brevis; limbus 2-labiatus. 4 perfecta: filamenta brevia linearia medio tubo affixa: antherae omnes cohaerentes reniformes vel subauriculiformes. Ovarium superum 1-loculare; stylus brevis linearis; stigma minutum. Capsula membranacea, maturitate e calyce paulo protrusa, apice longe quasi-calyptrata infra irregulariter rupta; placentae duae parietales bifidae undique ovuligerae. Semina numerosa minuta ovoidea vel subquadrata testa foveolatorugosula praedita.

Species unica borneensis.

Moultonia singularis, Balf. fil. et W. W. Sm. Sp. nov. Pl. CXLVI.

Herba robusta unifolia; rhizoma vel radices non visae; caulis epigaeus nullus; petiolus 30–40 cm. longus, 1 cm. vel ultro diametro, striatus, supra canaliculatus ibique flores gignens, undique calcario-furfuraceus; folii lamina 30–35 cm. longa [Notes, R.B.G., Edin, No. XL, March 1915.]

20-30 cm. lata ovata vel oblongo-ovata basi cordata (apice in speciminibus siccis deficiente) integra in vivo aliquatenus carnosula supra atroviridis glabra infra undique scabridule calcario-furfuracea; costa supra canaliculata flores numerosos fere per longitudinem totam gerens, infra robusta eminens 5 mm. lata vel ultro, calcario-furfuracea; nervi primarii 20-30, valde conspicui subparalleli, inter se 1-3 cm. distantes, a costa recto angulo abeuntes marginem versus multo inflexi. Pedunculi brevissimi lati 1-3-flori; pedicelli 3-4 mm. longi fructu usque ad 1 cm. aucti, calcario-furfuracei; bracteae bracteolaeque minimae circ. 1 mm. longae lineares carnosulae. Flores parvi in sulco petioli atque costae folii crebre et fere continuo enati. Calyx campanulatus circ. 4 mm. longus deinde in fructu usque ad 5 mm. elongatus alte 4-fidus; lobi paulo imbricati erecti fructu conniventes oblongi plus minusve obtusi marginibus membranacei medio subcoriacei extus calcario-furfuracei intus marginibus exceptis pulvinulo calcario obtecti. Corolla parva, (evoluta non nobis visa, in alabastro inaperto examinata): tubus brevis; limbus 2-labiatus labio postico 2-fido, antico nondum (in alabastro) partito. Stamina perfecta 4. in alabastro subaequalia circ. I mm. longa medio tubo affixa; filamenta circ. 0.5 mm. longa, nigrida, glabra; antherae omnes latere cohaerentes vix I mm. diametro subauriculiformes vel reniformes. Discus cupularis fere ad medium ovarium pertinens. Ovarium superum globosum stylo lineari ovarium paulo superante stigmate inconspicuo truncatulo. Capsulae maturae pars inferior seminifera globosa circ. 1.5 mm. diametro membranacea fragilis irregulariter transverse rupta; pars superior calyptriformis anguste conicum circ. 4 mm. longa basi 1 mm. lata, solida, e calyce paulo protrusa. Semina numerosa vix o.25 mm. longa ovoidea vel globoso-ovoidea vel aliquatenus subquadrata nonnunquam paulo angulata atrobrunnea testa foveolatorugosula praedita.

Borneo:—Sudan, Sarawak. Feb. 1914. Native Collector. No. D53.

The generic name is given in honour of J. C. Moulton, Esq., Curator of the Sarawak Museum, to whose endeavours the discovery of this and other interesting Bornean plants is due.

We have described this plant as possessing a single leaf with a leaf-petiole and epiphyllous inflorescence, and the dried material at our disposal sanctions no other course. If we follow convention in this we by no means intend thereby to express our view of the morphological value of the vegetative parts described. The plant seems to us to have special interest from the morphological side, but the true explanation of its parts can only be arrived at by an investigation of the living plant. To enable this to be made we must hope that Mr. Moulton may be able to obtain ripe seeds for the cultivation of the plant. Meanwhile we may give here the morphological interpretation which appears to us as probably the right one of the parts as we know them.

We suggest that the stalk and broad lamina are the parts of an outgrowth from the primitive protocorm of the plant—the stalk being hypocotyl, the lamina cotyledon—which it will not surprise us to learn has no other vegetative organs. From this protocormic outgrowth which possesses great meristematic activity the flowers arise. The whole construction of Moultonia is to us that of a plant showing a permanently embryonic vegetative state.

Let us clearly understand what this means.

Of the egg out of which every angiospermous plant develops one-half is devoted to the formation of a body of meristem-cells which is the primitive corm-protocorm-of a future plant; to the other half which forms the suspensor is assigned the. primary duty of regulating the position of the protocorm within the seed and of aiding in the feeding of it. The whole product of the egg-suspensor and protocorm-is commonly known as the proembryo, and is adapted to the intraseminal phase of life of the organism preceding the period of rest incidental to the seed habit. The degree to which development proceeds up to rest varies. As a minimum the suspensor may be no more than a single cell and the protocorm an undifferentiated body of a few meristem-cells. More advanced the suspensor may be pluricellular, even massive, with haustorial outgrowths penetrating far in search of food, and likewise the protocorm becomes a body with haustorial extension in the form of lobes (one in Monocotyledons, two in Dicotyledons)-the cotyledon; so that there is differentiation into a central mass-hypocotyland cotyledon one or more. This may be all. But in more advanced states-and these are perhaps the more usual-a primordium of the hypogeous axis of the mature plant is laid down at the basal end of the protocorm as the primary root, and a primordium of the epigeous axis is laid down-at the apical end of the protocorm when there are two or more lateral cotyledons, at the side when there is one terminal one-as the plumular bud. There may be several such primordia. What has to be emphasised here is that the ordinary angiospermous plant, as we see it, is the product of two primordia arising out of the protocorm. The protocorm is the embryonic stage. The root and shoot of the plant are the mature stage. In the former potential meristematic activity is spread through the whole protocorm. and this is very different from the restricted meristematic activity that is found in the epicotylar shoot. In most Angiosperms the embryonic protocorm, shedding its haustorial cotyledons after they have performed their function during transition of the organism from intraseminal to extraseminal life, loses individuality in its fate as connecting link betwixt the root and shoot of the mature plant.

In the light of what we have just said, we suggest that Moultonia is one of those plants which never goes beyond the stage of the protocorm. It never forms primordia of primary root or plumular bud. The vegetative apparatus-long-stalked laminais a primitive outgrowth, become assimilating, of the protocorm. That it will have at its base many adventitious absorbing roots we expect, though our material gives no indication of them. The laminar portion we take to be cotyledon. Probably the stalk part of it may be less cotyledon than hypocotyl, but of that we can say nothing definite. We are more certain of the correctness of the suggestion we make that this outgrowth is persistently meristematic throughout, and in the mid-line of its upper surface at least, for it is there that the flower-buds arise in linear series but not in age sequence from below upwards or from above downwards. Young and old are intermingled throughout the length.*

It may be asked what are the grounds upon which we base the views expressed above. We will explain.

To do this we recall the well-known features of germination exhibited by other genera of Gesneraceae. Let us begin with Streptocarpus.† Taking in the first instance S. polyanthus,

* A cotyledon is often like a leaf in its later stages of life, and is perhaps most commonly spoken of as a leaf. But a leaf is an organ of the epicotylar axis proceeding from the plumular bud. The leaf arises as a lateral structure from one of its nodes. A cotyledon as an extension of the embryonic protocorm may proceed from the end of the protocorm or from its sides, and does not present in its evolution the fundamental criteria required by the foundations of morphology for being reckoned the homologue of an epicotylar leaf. Entering this caveat, we are content in our systematic description to speak of the vegetative body of Moultonia as a leaf with a petiole and having epiphyllous inflorescence.

† Crocker, Notes on Germination of Certain Species of Cyrtandreae in Journ, Linn, Soc. v, (1861), 65, t. iv, Dickie, Note of Observations and Experiments in Germination in Journ, Linn, Soc. ix (1867), 126; Dickson, On the Germination ix Steptocarpus caustescens in Trans. Bot. Soc. Edin, xiv (1883), 362, Pl. Vi Hielscher, Anatomie und Biologie der Gattung Streptocarpus in Cohn's Beiträge iit (1883), 1t. i-iii; Fritsch, Ueber die Entwicklung des Gesneraceen in Ber.

d. deutsch. Bot. Gesellsch. (Gen. Versamml.) xii (1894), 26.

Crocker was foreman of the Propagation Department, Royal Gardens, Kew, and was the first to record the features of germination of Strephocarpus. His observations were exact, and he distinctly states that there is an absence of all trace of plumule. His figures found their way into the botanical text-books of the period. We mention this because his work, as well as that of Dickie and Dickson, is ignored by Hielscher, who is quoted in most modern German books as if he were the observer who first made known the facts.

Hook., we find within its seed at the period when it is ripe the protocorm of the embryo as an elongated ovoid body showing towards the apical end two lateral outgrowths of equal size -the cotyledons. There is no trace of a primordium of a primary root, nor of a plumular bud, and there never is. When germination takes place, the whole surface of the protocorm becomes covered with absorptive hairs. One of the cotyledons is arrested in growth, the other elongates and growing rapidly by basal intercalary growth forms in time a broad green lamina without stalk. Soon a series of adventitious roots develop from the hypocotyl and also from the cotyledon base. The top of the hypocotyl where the cotyledons are does not in this species show much growth in length, and the cotyledons remain about the same level. Soon the smaller arrested one withers and dies off, so that the whole vegetative organisation of the plant is an enlarged green cotyledon with a basal portion of hypocotyl and adventitious rootlets. Year by year the intercalary growth of the cotyledon proceeds and further rootlets are formed. That is the whole mature vegetative plant. If at an early period the enlarging cotyledon be removed, the arrested one opposite to it on the protocorm may develop into the same form. Here there is never a vegetative epicotyl, never a primary root. The vegetative body is a persistently growing extension of the embryonic state. A like explanation covers the case of Lemna amongst Monocotyls-only there the embryonic form repeats itself in successive branchings.

This is the type of what in systematic works is named the "Unifoliate" Streptocarpi.

At flowering period the inflorescence takes origin in the hypocotyl within the sinus at the base of the enlarged cotyledon and develops a scapose axis or scapose axes with many flowers in biparous cymose branching. It never spreads over the laminar area. Meristematic activity seems to be located in the hypocotyl at the base of the cotyledonary lamina. How exactly the flower-axis arises has not been really observed in this species. We do not yet know whether the apex of the hypocotyl forms a primordium which can be interpreted as a postponed plumular bud with destiny of flower production only, or whether the origin of the inflorescence is spread over a wider linear or broader area of the hypocotyl. The figure of Acanthonema strigosum, Hook. f., in the Botanical Magazine (1862), t. 5339, indicates a like history of development in that species.

Take now the case of S. Rexii, Lindl., as described by Dickie (with which that of S. primuloides, Dickie, conforms). Here the development starts as in the preceding case, but the top of the hypocotyl on the side next and below one of the cotyledons

grows out for a short distance so that the two cotyledons are separated by a length of hypocotyl. The cotyledon left behind is the arrested one. The other enlarges, and a cursory examination of a seedling at this stage might suggest the presence of two cotyledons: one sessile small, and one petiolate large. The apparent petiole-and it is so called by Hielscher-is really the hypocotyl. When S. Rexii, Lindl., flowers it forms oneflowered scapes and these take origin close to the sinus of the cotyledonary lamina from the hypocotyl meristem tissue in a "simple tangential row." Here we have the case of S. polyanthus over again, only that the elongation of the hypocotyl has created the appearance of a petiole, and so the floweraxis seems to come from where an apparent petiole joins a lamina. This is not all, however. In S. Rexii, Lindl., there appears at the cotyledonary sinus a cluster of stalked leaf-like structures. These form a sort of rosette and are characteristic of the "Rosulate" Streptocarpi. The published accounts of their origin are vague in terms, and their relation to the inflorescence is not clear. They are said to come off alternately from a whole series of centres whilst the inflorescences are developed in a simple basifugal row. We are in no better case here than with S. polyanthus, Hook., for the determination of the morphological relationship of these later vegetative structures and of the inflorescence to the protocorm. All we can recognise is that there is a vegetative organisation superposed upon the condition that is permanent in S. polyanthus, Hook. For its reconciliation with normal plumular development further investigation is required.

Then we have a state of further differentiation in S. caulescens, Vatke, as Dickson showed, typical of the whole series of "Streptocarpi Caulescentes." Here the same general lines of early development of the protocorm are followed, and there is an elongation of the hypocotyl between the cotyledons the upper of which is the larger. This upper cotyledon does not reach extravagant size; it has quite the appearance of a petiolate cotyledon coming off from the hypocotyl. From the hypocotyl and in apparent upward continuation of it ascends an axis like an ordinary epicotylar noded axis bearing foliage-leaves which are like the larger cotyledon in form. How this axis arises, if from a plumular bud or no, is not described. Its appearance suggests such normal evolution as occurs in many other Gesneraceae.

The suggestions conveyed in the construction of these Streptocarpi have led us to the interpretation we have predicated for *Moultonia*. One may suppose that the hypocotyl below an upper enlarged cotyledon has grown out to form the stalk with

the lamina of the cotyledon at its end and that the inflorescence meristem instead of being strictly limited to an area at the base of the cotyledon, is spread along the hypocotyl and also along the lamina.

As an intermediate condition we may bring into the case the evidence offered by Monophyllaea.

We have pointed out that Moultonia is allied to Monophyllaea. This genus we only know from the description and figure given by Clarke.* Clarke suggests, we think rightly, that the folium unicum of his plant is a cotyledon. There appears to be in Monophyllaea a single stalked leaf-to speak conventionallyas in Moultonia, but the stalk is shorter and at the junction of lamina and stalk scapose inflorescences arise. At the summit of the scapes the flowers are disposed in a unilateral spicate raceme which curls over circinately. We should interpret all this construction as that of a hypocotyl elongated in the form of a petiole and bearing at its extremity a cotyledonary lamina. We should expect in the young protocorm to find a second smaller arrested cotyledon. At the point where cotyledonary lamina and hypocotyl join the inflorescence arises from the hypocotyl. The meristem for the inflorescence is localised at this region.

Of the allied *Epithema* we have insufficient knowledge to allow of our making a suggestion of interpretation.

The following morphological series within the plants named issues from what we have said:—

Streptocarpus polyanthus, Hook.—hypocotyl not elongated, cotyledon sessile, scapose inflorescence with open biparous branching developing from hypocotyl base of cotyledonar lamina.

Streptocarpus Rexii, Lindl.—hypocotyl shortly elongated, giving apparent stalk to cotyledon, scapose inflorescence (1 flower) developing from hypocotyl at base of cotyledonar lamina.

Monophyllaca—hypocotyl much elongated, giving apparent, long stalk to cotyledon, scapose inflorescence with unilateral racemes developing from hypotyl at base of cotyledonar lamina.

Moulionia—hypocotyl very much elongated, giving apparent very long stalk to cotyledon, inflorescence disposed in umbels originating along middle line on upper surface of whole length of elongated hypocotyl and on midrib of lamina (but not showing special relation to the primary veins).

^{*} C. B. Clarke, Cyrtandreae in De Candolle Monogr, Phanerog. v (1883–87), 181, t. xx.

We do not overlook other explanations that might be given of the construction in Moultonia. Thus, in absence of all evidence of the seedling condition it might be held that in both Moultonia and Monophyllaea the folium unicum is really an epicotylar leaf with which the inflorescence is more or less "congenitally concrescent." In such a view, the unilateral disposition of the flowers on the scapes in Monophyllaea might be regarded as a stage towards the complete fusion of scape with leaf in Moultonia. To us such concrescences do not appeal.

A more illuminative comparison may be made with what is seen in Chirita hamosa, R. Br., of which, however, we have not yet the clear explanation. In Plate CXLVII. is a figure of this plant when in flower. The opposite leaves are petiolate, and the flowers arise in a line upon the upper surface of each petiole. They are epipetiolar. The buds on the petiole are not, however, all flower-buds: some are foliage. The sequence is irregular. The position of the inflorescence recalls partially that of Moultonia. There is no concrescence here; simply foliar evolution of flower and buds. The seedling of Chirita hamosa has cotvledons differing in size and separated by a hypocotylar elongation. The upper is the larger, becomes stalked, and has quite the form of the adult leaf, but it never bears flowers or buds. Possibly then what we have been describing in Moultonia as a protocorm outgrowth may be after all an epicotylar leaf with epiphyllous inflorescences more extended than in Chirita hamosa.

The flower-structure of Moultonia is not without special interest. The gynacceum is closed at the top by a solid cone like a style supported upon a stylopod, and this seems to separate as an operculum from the lower portion of the capsule which remains enclosed in the calyx. For a clear understanding of the mechanism of dehiscence better material than that at our disposal is necessary.

EXPLANATION OF PLATES CXLVI-CXLVII.

Illustrating Professor Bayley Balfour and Mr. W. W. Smith's paper on Moultonia.

(The plates are taken from photographs by Mr. Robert M. Adam.)

PLATE CXLVI. Moultonia singularis, Balf. fil. et W. W. Sm.

CXLVII. Chirita hamosa, R. Br. Plant in flower in the Royal Botanic Garden, Edin.



MOULTONIA SINGULARIS, BALF. FIL. ET W. W. SMITH.



CHIRITA HAMOSA, R. BR.

