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#### Abstract

A modern re-assessment is given of the taxonomy and nomenclature of the $8(-9)$ annual taxa within the mainly Mediterranean/SW Asiatic Bromus L. sect. Genea Dum. (Poaceae): B. diandrus Roth var. diandrus, B. diandrus var. rigidus Roth, B. fasciculatus Presl, B. madritensis L., B. rubens L., B. sterilis L., B. tectorum L. subsp. tectorum and B. tectorum subsp. lucidus Sales; less emphasis is given to B. madritensis and B. rubens. None of these taxa has previously been investigated throughout their total areas and the taxonomic conclusions expressed here are a result of a multidisciplinary approach. For reasons of convenience the species are considered in three informal groups based on overall similarities: i, B. sterilis, $B$. diandrus and B. rigidus, so often recognized as independent species in recent Floras but here regarded as varieties of one species; ii, B. madritensis, B. rubens and B. fasciculatus, with particular attention given to $B$. fasciculatus; and iii, $B$. tectorum subsp. tectorum and subsp. lucidus, previously regarded as independent species.


## TAXONOMIC HISTORY

Bromus L. includes annual and perennial species, typically of the temperate areas of Eurasia and America. Its highest diversity occurs in Eurasia, especially in SW Asia where it is believed to have originated (Stebbins, 1981). Occasionally, it grows above the arctic circle; it is also in tropical areas at mountain-subalpine altitudes. It is wholly introduced and naturalized in other temperate areas, such as Australasia.

Influenced by the wide range of morphological variation, many taxonomists divided Bromus into smaller groups which were given the rank of genus, subgenus or section. For a long time, the species that are investigated here were variously given generic, subgeneric and sectional status for which the correct names are respectively: Anisantha K. Koch, subgen. Stenobromus (Griseb.) Hackel, and sect. Genea Dum. With the exception of some 'Soviet' taxonomists the generic name Zerna Panzer has been correctly rejected or neglected because, apart from the sect. Genea elements in it (madritensis, sterilis and tectorum), it originally included many foreign taxa from sect. Pnigma Dum. and the genus Festuca L. Although B. sterilis was the type species proposed for Zerna (Hitchcock, U. S. Dept. Agric. Bull. 772: 24, 1920), the only illustration in the original publication is probably not a species which could be referred to sect. Genea. Contrasting with the earlier lack of agreement concerning the taxonomic rank given to sect. Genea (or indeed other groups within Bromus s.l.), for the last 10-20 years almost all taxonomists have restricted themselves to using sectional status, e.g. Bor (1970), Smith (1981, 1985a) and Clayton \& Renvoize (1986).

[^0]It was Dumortier (1823) who, for the first time, divided Bromus s.l. into sections: sect. Genea, sect. Bromopsis, sect. Pnigma and sect. Bromium. A criticism must be made of Dumortier's descriptions of his sections: apart from Bromus and Pnigma he does not use the same characters throughout to define these sections. It is, therefore, very difficult, or impossible, to compare and contrast them. However, because of the way he presents and describes these sections it is my belief that each sectional description excludes the following ones. If my interpretation is correct, Dumortier could have keyed out the four sections as follows:

1. Paleola exterior in setam canaliculatam desinens, apice bilaciniata $\qquad$ sect. Genea 1b. Species that do not present the above characters 2
2. Seta fere terminalis, basi per apicem paleolae biaurita

$\qquad$
sect. Bromopsis 2b. Species that do not present the above characters 3

## 3. Axis basi circumsutus, seta dorsalis

$\qquad$ sect. Pnigma
3b. Seta dorsalis, axis dorso continuus sect. Bromium

In the descriptions of the sections, Dumortier used two rather ambiguous expressions: 'axis basi circumsutus' and 'axis dorso continuus'. To interpret them it is necessary to understand what Dumortier meant by 'axis' in his work on grasses. I agree with Tournay (1961) in that these two expressions should be interpreted respectively as: 'dorsal surface of glumes separated from the pedicel of the spikelet by an annular strangulation' and 'dorsal surface of glumes joining the pedicel without strangulation'. However, this character does not seem very significant because I have observed a strangulation not only on specimens of sect. Pnigma but also on those of other sections. Apart from these negative aspects, Dumortier's descriptions of the sections are based on very few characters. Nonetheless, these are the earliest valid, legitimate sectional names. More characters have been added to better define these subgeneric groups as botanists, still convinced of the reality of the subgeneric divisions of Bromus, widened their knowledge of its range of variation. The most recent description of sect. Genea was given by Smith (1985) and in this paper I adopt a modified version of it.

Section Genea Dum., Obs. Gram. Fl. Belg. 116 (1823).
Annuals, sometimes biennials, with lateral growth when growing in wet places (not their native habitat). Spikelets lanceolate when young, soon becoming cuneiform, broader at top, lower glume 1-3(-5)-veined, upper 3-5(-7)-veined. Leaves emarginate, rounded on back. Awn single, usually longer than lemma, canaliculate in section, rough, straight or weakly, sometimes strongly, out-curved, usually twisted once.
Lectotype species: B. sterilis L.; cf. Tournay, Bull. Jard. Bot. État, 31: 294 (1961).
The section is widely distributed in Mediterranean countries, SW Asia and also in northern Europe; some taxa are important introduced weeds in other regions of the world, mainly within a Mediterranean-type of climate.
I have accepted in this paper the lowest taxonomic rank given so far to this group of species (section) because I do not think there are enough multidisciplinary data on the
infrageneric groups in Bromus to give them all a higher rank. For reasons of convenience, I consider here Genea as a discrete group in the genus, but I doubt its reality as an independent taxonomic unit. There seems to be a continuous range of variation between sect. Bromus and sect. Genea via the B. pectinatus complex of sect. Bromus. This complex seems to link with sect. Bromus through the diploid B. japonicus Thunb. How the $B$. pectinatus complex relates to sect. Genea is not yet fully understood, but the link seems to be via $B$. tectorum s.l. Both subspecies and this other complex form a misty boundary between sect. Bromus and sect. Genea thus questioning the taxonomic reality of these two sections.

## KEY TO THE SPECIES OF SECT. GENEA

I found it impossible to construct a normal dichotomizing key to the species of Genea because of the great morphological variability and overlapping of character states. Different species have several characters in common. As a result of my studies I concluded that the nine characters used below provide, in combination, the best tool for identification.
The characters used in the key are indicated by capital letters $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ and the character states by numbers ( $\mathrm{A} 1 \& \mathrm{~A} 2 ; \mathrm{B} 1 \& \mathrm{~B} 2$ ). The character states are:

A1 Long glumes and lemmas - upper glume $1-47 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.
A2 Shorter glumes and lemmas - upper glume 7.5-10(-21)mm long. [B. sterilis occasionally has upper glumes up to 21 mm ].

B1 Rachilla of top sterile florets twisted.
B2 Rachilla of top sterile florets not twisted.
C1 Panicle branches longer than spikelets.
C2 Panicle branches shorter than spikelets.
D1 Panicle branches with few ramifications - up to 2-3.
D2 Panicle branches with more ramifications - more than 3 .
E1 Panicle erect.
E2 Panicle nodding.
F1 Glumes and lemmas very narrow - lemma $1.3-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide.
F2 Glumes and lemmas wider.
G1 Caryopsides twisted.
G2 Caryopsides not twisted.
H1 Caryopsides straight.
H2 Caryopsides outcurved.
Il Awns straight.
I2 Awns curved.

Thus, the six species are defined by the following list of characters states:
B. diandrus - A1, B2, C1 \& C2, D1, E1 \& E2, F2, G2, H1, I1
B. tectorum-A2, B1, C1 \& C2, D1 \& D2, E1, F2, G2, H1 \& H2, I1.
B. sterilis - A2, B2, C1, D1, E2, F2, G2, H1 \& H2, I1
B. madritensis - A2, B2, C1 \& C2, D2, E1 \& E2, F2, G2, H1 \& H2, I1
B. rubens - A2, B1, C1, D2, E1, F2, G2, H1, I1
B. fasciculatus - A2, B2, C2, D1, E1, F1, G1 \& G2, H2, I2

Character states that are of greater taxonomic significance in identification are indicated in bold.

## TAXONOMY

## 1. B. STERILIS AND THE B. DIANDRUS-RIGIDUS COMPLEX

These three species are closely related morphologically. There is an almost continuous range of variation in some characters, from ' $B$. rigidus' to $B$. diandrus to $B$. sterilis, the latter being better differentiated. $B$. sterilis also has some similarities to $B$. madritensis but it has been regarded as one of the parents of $B$. diandrus ( $B$. diandrus $=B$. rigidus x sterilis; Cugnac, 1931, 1932, 1934).
$B$. diandrus and $B$. rigidus are, in general, robust plants with bigger glumes, lemmas, paleas and awns than B. sterilis, which has a quite stable morphology throughout its geographical range and does not pose any complicated taxonomic problems. B. sterilis is the most common Genea species in Europe, often a ruderal. It is not common in N Africa nor in SW Asia. It was introduced into N and S America. In contrast, the enormous morphological variation of $B$. diandrus and ' $B$. rigidus' has been much discussed and different taxonomic treatments proposed.
B. sterilis L., Sp.Pl. 77 (1753).

Syn.: Zerna sterilis Panz. in Denkschr. Akad. Muench. 1813: 297 (1814) [formal combination not made here but clearly based on Bromus sterilis L.]; Anisantha sterilis (L.) Nevski in Acta Univ. Asia Med. ser. 8b (Bot.) 17: 20, 22 (1934). Type: see comments below at lectotype.
Icon.: Bor, N.L.in Fl. Iraq, ed. Townsend et al. 9: 158, pl. 54 (1968). Hubbard, C.E., Grasses, ed. 3, 42 (1985).
Annual, $4-\mathrm{c} .100 \mathrm{~cm}$ tall, with solitary or loosely tufted, ascending to erect, slender glabrous culms. Leaf sheath softly villous to pilose with retrorse-patent hairs, apically glabrous or occasionally throughout; ligule fringed, acute or round at the base. Leaf blade acuminate, $1.5-23 \times 0.1-0.7 \mathrm{~cm}$, very often with longer hairs along the margin continuing along the margin of the sheath near the ligule. Panicle condensed and ascending when young, very soon becoming open, lax, deltoid in outline, up to 17 cm long from the lowest to the uppermost node. In very small plants, the panicle is reduced to 1 spikelet (var. oligostachyus Ascherson \& Graebner, Syn. Mittleleur. Fl. 2: 592, 1901). Panicle axis usually glabrous, sometimes with short hairs apically. Panicle branches slender, spreading, sometimes with short hairs. Branches longer than spikelets,
shorter only when panicle is reduced to 1 or very few $(2,3)$ spikelets, simple, rarely 1 branch of the lowermost node with 1 ramification, up to 12 cm long. Spikelets $1(-2)$ per branch, cuneate, broadening at maturity when the florets diverge from the axis. Florets $4-12$ per spikelet of which $2-4$ are sterile. Rachilla segments of very uniform length along the spikelet. Glumes and lemma usually tinged with dark red-purple at maturity, with a narrow hyaline margin, glabrous or with short or long hairs. Lower glume narrowly lanceolate, $1(-3)$-veined, $5.5-14.7 \times 0.4-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$; upper glume lanceolate, $3(-5)$-veined, $7.5-21 \times 1.6-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lemmas of fertile florets 7 -veined, $10.5-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, with 2 acute teeth at apex; lemmas of sterile florets smaller. Awn straight, slender, often with a single twist, inserted $1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ below the lemma apex; awn length quite uniform in a spikelet, but the awns of sterile florets always shorter and more slender. Awn of second floret $9.5-29 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Palea $9.5-17 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, much shorter than lemma, 2 -veined, glabrous on adaxial surface; abaxial surface glabrous or hairy between the veins; veins with long spreading hairs or short and erect, longer near the apex. Stamens 3; anthers $0.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Caryopsides usually straight, sometimes curved slightly outwards, shorter than palea, $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Callus of rachilla segments well differentiated and therefore disarticulating below each fertile floret, obtuse. Scar of rachilla segments round.

Lectotype: While investigating the typification of B. sterilis, I came across a major problem in that the proposed lectotype (Smith, 1985) is not $B$. sterilis but B. diandrus, and there are no other original elements for the name which can be unequivocally identified as belonging to $B$. sterilis of current usage. To avoid a major nomenclatural disruption if the name $B$. sterilis were to disappear I have proposed conserving it with a new type (Sales, 1992).

Diagnostic features of the lectotype [93.19 LINN] proposed by Smith (1985b) compared with my own observations of a wide range of $B$. sterilis specimens:

|  | $[93.19 \mathrm{LINN}]$ | B.sterilis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Panicle branches length | 5 (robust) | Up to 12 (slender) |
| (cm) | 17 | $5.5-14.7$ |
| Lower glume length (mm) | 25 | $7.5-21$ |
| Upper glume length $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 29 | $10.5-30$ |
| Lemma length (mm) | robust | slender |
| Awn | a little pointed/ round/circular | almost circular |
| Callus/scar shape |  |  |

Habitats. Europe/Mediterranean: Maquis, in thickets, granite slopes, with Cercis, Pinus brutia, Cistus ladanifera, Quercus pyrenaica, Paliurus, vineyards. N Africa: with Cedrus atlantica, Argania; SW Asia: rocky limestone slopes, scree, in Quercus woodland (Q.persica, Q. aegilops), with Cercis. Throughout its range: grassy slopes, waste places, roadsides, in orchards, hay fields, 20-1500(-2400)m.
Selection of material examined:

[^1]220m, 7 vi 1962, Grull s.n. (E); Kauden, Atschau, Steltzhamer 808 (BG). DENMARK: Kristrup, Randers, Lojtnant 726 (BG); Zealand, Hojby station, N. Jacobsen 264 (O). FRANCE: Rhône, Amas, Gandoger 389 (O); Ile Vilaine, St Michael, 18 vi 1954, Stromer s.n. (O); Pyrénées, Luchon-Zetterstedt 389 (O). GERMANY: Thuringia, Haustadt, vi 1908, Reineck s.n. (O); Bavaria, SE Wurzburg, L. Gross 473 (BG); Baden, Karlsrühe, Kneucker 287 (E). GREECE: E Aegean, Psara island, 'Ahladhokambos', Greuter 10822 (E). HUNGARY: Budapest, 100m, Degen 229 (E). IRELAND: Dublin, Portmamock, vii 1857, J. Ball s.n. (E). ITALY: Pisa, 1846, Flora Etrusca Exsiccata s.n. (E); Insula Caprearum, iv 1842, J. Ball s.n. (E); Naples, v 1842, J. Ball s.n. (E); Sicily, 10 Km NE Nicolosi, 1000 m , Davis \& Sutton D. 64447 (E). NETHERLANDS: Den Haag, Leenhouts 3380 (O). NORWAY: Rennesoy, Dale, 10 vi 1929, Holmboc \& Lid s.n. (BG); Rog. Stav. Pedersgaten to Hannesiloen, 18 vii 1983, Halvorsen s.n. (BG). PORTUGAL: Coimbra, Choupal, v 1880. Moller (COI); AltoAlentejo: Castelo de Vide, 401 m , Beliz 1530 (ELVE); Torre de Moncorvo, v 1887, Mariz s.n. (COI); Madeira, Santo da Serra, Davis \& Macpherson D. 70993 (E). SPAIN: Sierra de Gredos, El Arenal, 900 m , Deverall \& Flannigan 267 (E); Rio Tiradero, B. M. Allen 7529 (E); Malaga, Gobantes to Antequera, Galiano et al. 1480.69 (E). SWEDEN: Lund, Sandin s.n. (BG); Skåne, Helsingborg, 10 vii 1907, Stensson s.n. (BG); Paroecia Kavlinge, Kavlinge, H. Tedin 258 (O). SWITZERLAND: Basel, 1912, Jermstad 152 (O); Geneva, 1820, Blytt 389 (O). TURKEY: Istanbul, Yildiz bahcesi, A. Baytop 7635 (E). UNITED KINGDOM: England: Surrey, Tothill near Headley, Hubbard 9045 (E); Leatherhead, H. Burkill 1531 (E); Sussex, East Grinstead, Davis 16930 (E). Scotland: Midlothian, Edinburgh, Dunnett 9 (E); Moray, Mains of Craigmell Dallas, McCallum Webster 16417 (E); Fife, Burntisland, 22 vii 1848, Lauder Lindsay s.n. (E). UKRAINE: Crimea: Sokoll, Sudak, Callier 232 (O). YUGOSLAVIA: Hercegovnia: Trebinje, Golobrdo, 12 v 1898, Baenitz s.n. (E): Trieste, 3 v 1896, O. Krebs s.n. (E); Sarajevo, v 1885, Beck s.n. (E).
N AFRICA. MOROCCO:. Middle Atlas, between Azrou and Timhadite, Paunero et al. 1986: 69 (E); High Atlas, Demnate, Whiting \& Richmond 224 (K); Immouzer valley. N of Agadir, Bramwell 292 (K). TUNISIA: Gafsa, iii 1909, Pitard s.n. (E).
ASIA. AFGHANISTAN: Kataghan: 25 km S Pul i Khumri, 800m, Rechinger 33775 (E); Kabul: Kabul, Tang-i Gharu, 1500 m , Hedge \& Wendelbo, W. 2807 (E); Bamian: near Panjao, Hedge \& Wendelbo, W. 4927 (BG). AZERBAIDJAN: Chatschmas, Chudat to Schollar, Zakarjan \& Schevljai 32909 (E). CYPRUS: Paphos forest, Stavros, Foggie 219 (E). GEORGIA: Tiflis, Grossheim 4728 (E). IRAN: Lorestan: Ilan, c. 1800 m , Jacobs 6828 (E); 60 km W Khorramabad, 1160 m , Archibald 1642 (E); Kerman: Djamal Bariz, Bam to Djiroft, 2400m, Rechinger 3878 (E). IRAQ: Baghdad, Jadriyah, Wheeler Haines 1615 (E); Kirkuk: Jarmo, 760 m , Wheeler Haines 269 (E); Rowanduz: Shaqlawa, 900 m , Wheeler Haines 683 (E). ISRAEL: Edom, Har Nevo, Gabrielith s.n. (HUJ); Mt Carmel, 200m, Dinsmore 2734 (E); Shomron, Jebel Eteri near Bat Shelomo, 10 iv 1946, D. Zohary s.n. (HUJ). LEBANON: Mt Lebanon, $1600 \mathrm{~m}, 13$ v 1877 , J. Ball (E). TURKEY: Hatay: Kirikhan to Hamam, 100 m , Coode \& Jones 578 (E); Izmir: Camlibel, Germencik to Selcuk, 200M, Davis 41763 (E); Mardin: Mardin, 1100 m , Davis \& Hedge D. 28365 (E).
AMERICA: USA, Washington: Washington, 23 v 1897, Steele s.n. (E). CANADA: Vancouver Island, Victoria, 27 v 1893, Macoun (E).
AUSTRALIA: W Australia: Midland Junction, x 1905, Staer (E); S Australia: northern Yorke peninsula, Copely 768 (E).

## COMMENTS ON INFRA-SPECIFIC VARIATION

All the infra-specific taxa of $B$. sterilis that have been recognized were at the ranks of varietas and forma. Many were based on varying pubescence (e.g. f. glaberrimus Soo, var. glabrescens Zapal, f. hirsutior Waisbecker, var. pilosus Rohl, var. pubescens (Ascherson) Kuntze, var. velutinus Volk ex Hegi); others were based on lemma colour (e.g. var. purpurens Schur. var. viridis Schur.) and some were recognized for plants with reduced panicles, sometimes to one single spikelet (var. oligostachyus Ascherson \& Graebner). From my own experience in the field and herbarium, these infra-specific taxa
are not worth recognition. Pubescence is very variable in the genus Bromus s.l. and within individual species. The dark red colour of the lemmas towards maturity occurs in differing degrees in most members of sect. Genea.

## B. DIANDRUS-RIGIDUS COMPLEX

## B. diandrus Roth, Bot. Abh. Beobacht. 44 (1787).

Annual, $15-120 \mathrm{~cm}$ tall, with solitary or loosely tufted, ascending to erect, $\pm$ robust culms, glabrous, except for the upper part just below the panicle. Leaf sheath softly villous to pilose with retrorse-patent hairs, wholly glabrous, or only apically or at the base, occasionally glabrous with 2 rows of long hairs along the margins; ligule fringed, acute or round at the base. Leaf blade acuminate, $4.5 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $22 \times 0.85 \mathrm{~cm}$ with sparse usually long hairs, especially so at margins, somewhat denser on abaxial surface. Panicle condensed and ascending when very young; shape varying from contracted, stiffly erect, narrowly ovate in outline, to lax spreading and broadly ovate; at maturity $\pm 1$-sided, nodding, up to 19 cm long from lowest to uppermost node; in very small plants the panicle sometimes reduced to $1-2$ spikelets. Panicle axis $\pm$ densely hairy. Panicle branches robust (more rarely slender), straight, erect when young, $\pm$ curved downwards at maturity, shorter than spikelets (sometimes as short as 0.7 cm ) or longer (up to 14 cm ), usually single, sometimes the longest lower one with a ramification. Spikelets narrow-ly-ovate, cuneate, tapering when young, usually $\pm$ truncate and broad at maturity but sometimes without change of shape at maturity (in clearly cleistogamous plants), $1.7-5.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ long. Florets ( $5-$ ) $6-11$, of which ( $1-$ ) $2-4$ are sterile. Rachilla segments very uniform in length along the spikelet. Glumes and lemma very often dark red-purple at maturity with a narrow hyaline margin, with very short or longer hairs at least towards the apex. Lower glume narrowly lanceolate or lanceolate $1(-3)$-veined, $12 \times 1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $36 \times 2.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; upper glume more broadly lanceolate, $3(-5)$-veined, $18 \times 1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $47 \times$ 3 mm . Lemmas or fertile florets 7 -veined, $13-53 \mathrm{~mm}$ long with 2 acute teeth at apex; teeth $0.18-9.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; lemmas of sterile florets smaller. Awn straight, robust, often with a single twist; awn length quite uniform in a spikelet, but awns of sterile florets always shorter and more slender. Awn of second floret $3.5-10.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, often purple sometimes even before maturity and when glumes and lemmas are still green. Palea $10-$ 20.5 mm long, much shorter than lemma, glabrous on adaxial surface; abaxial surface glabrous or hairy with short, erect, clearly spaced hairs along the 2 veins, longer near the apex. Stamens 2 or 3 ; anthers usually $0.45-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long but up to 5.9 mm . Caryopsides straight, c. 0.15 mm shorter than palea. Callus of rachilla segments differentiated below each fertile floret, pointed or $\pm$ obtuse. Scar of rachilla segments narrowly elliptic to $\pm$ round.

The description above combines the two morphological extremes that have previously been given independent specific status: B. diandrus Roth and B. rigidus Roth. Although there are no geographical differences between these two extremes, I have noticed some correlation between ecology and some particular morphological features. Plants with the callus/scar pointed/elliptic, short branches, narrow erect panicles (rigidus) occur more often on sandy soils, usually maritime sand dunes and sandy river banks; whereas
plants with the callus/scar round/circular, long branches, broad, lax panicles, bigger anthers (diandrus) are more often found on heavier, less freely draining limestone kind of soils with more humus. This correlation is apparently still weak because in many cases it fails to occur, but it may show an evolutionary tendency in embryo.

A multidisciplinary study of a very large number of specimens, mainly in the herbarium but also in the field, has led me to believe that these two taxa are not distinct enough to be given a taxonomic rank higher than variety.

## Key to the two varieties

1a. Panicle contracted, stiffly erect, narrowly ovate; panicle branches mainly shorter than spikelets; florets and rachilla segments imbricate, less exposed; lemma involute with margins touching at maturity; base of lemma in side-view straight (Fig. 1A), scar of rachilla segments elliptic (Fig. 2A); anthers up to 0.7 mm , long included; caryopsides usually inrolled __ B. diandrus Roth var. rigidus (Roth) Sales
lb. Panicle lax, spreading, broadly-ovate, branches mainly longer than spikelets, sometimes contracted, panicle sometimes with shorter branches especially in specimens growing in conditions of water stress; florets and rachilla segments at flowering time spreading exposed ; lemma involute with margins nor touching at maturity; base of lemma in side-view with a constriction at the callus/scar area (Fig. 1B) scar of rachilla segments oval (Fig.2E); anthers $0.7-5.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, included and exserted; caryopsides quite often flat _ B. diandrus Roth var. diandrus

## B. diandrus Roth var. diandrus

Syn.: A. diandra (Roth) Tutin in Clapham et al., Fl. British Isles ed. 2: 1149 (1962); Tzvelev in Not. Syst. (Leningrad) 22: 4 (1963). Type: Neotype (selected here): Gr. Bromoides, locustis maximus, lanuginosum, Italicum. Hist. Nat.: 261. no. 444 (Scheuchzer Herb., OXF!).
B. gussonii Parl., Rar. Pl. Sic. 2:8 (1840). Anisantha gussonii (Parl.) Nevski in Act. Univ. Asia Med. VIIIb. Bot. 17:20 (1934). Zerna gussonii (Parl.) Grossh., Trudy Bot. Inst. Azerbaidzh. Fil. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R. 8: 305 (1939). Type: see notes below.
Icon.: Bor, N. L.in Fl. Iraq, ed. Townsend et al. 9:143, pl. 48 (1968). Hubbard, C.E., Grasses, ed. 3, 48 (1985).

Roth's specimens were destroyed at Berlin (B) in 1943 during the war, but there are two references in the protologue that provide some guidance in the choice of a neotype for B. diandrus. One is the sentence 'Semina inter passulas majores lecta Majo mense terra comissa plantas nunc (Octobri mense) florentes producerunt' [seeds collected among big raisins, planted in May and now (October) producing flowers]; this is a reference to Roth's interest in the 'impurities' (seeds), found among raisins, grown in his own garden. Possibly, the Bromus 'seeds' were brought with raisins from south Germany or Italy by merchants. The other relevant reference is to a very good description of the species by Scheuchzer: 'Scheuchz., Agrost. pag. m. 261 (descriptio optima)'. Scheuchzer in page

261 refers to a grass that grows in Italy, near Rome and Florence. Scheuchzer's specimens are at Oxford (OXF) and the more complete of the two relevant specimens is chosen here as the neotype.
Habitats. Open woodland, wet shady ground, shingle banks, edge of stream, maquis, in pasture land, roadside, waste ground, fallow fields, cultivated fields; on calcareous, serpentine, clay and sandy soils. From sea level to 1550 m (to 2400 m in western N America).
Selection of material examined:
EUROPE. FRANCE: Paris, Côté de Dreux, 9 v 1897, Jeanpert s.n. (E); Loire, Nantes, 28 vi 1954, Stormer s.n. (O). GREECE: Crete: Ep. Kydonia, Agia, 50 m , Gradstein \& Smittenberg 236 (E); Kos: Kos, s.1., Davis 40555 (E). ITALY: Liguria, Kneucker exsicc. 653 (E); Trieste, Pola, 21 v 1902, O. Dahl (O); Sicily, Palermo, Todaro 518 (E). MAJORCA: Palma, 5 v 1903, White (E). MADEIRA: above Pousada dos Vinhâticos, 660 m , Davis 70741 (E). MALTA: Somerville 191 (E). NORWA Y: Oslo, Gronlien, Fridtz 7011 (O); Sogn og Fjordane, Jolster hd., 5 ix 1955, Befring (BG). PORTUGAL: Trás-os-Montes \& Alto Douro: Bragança, 720 m , P.Silva et al. 7592 (LISE); Beira Litoral, c. 6.5 km from Vagos to Ihavo, A. Marques 51 (COI); Estremadura, Loures near Ponte de Frielas 50m, A. Teles \& M. Silva 1234 (LISE); Algarve, praia da Rocha, s.l., Davis 50970 (E). SPAIN: Guipuzcoa, San Sabastian, Gandoger 118 (E). SWEDEN: Malmo, 18 vi 1920, Holmberg (O). UNITED KINGDOM: England: Bournemouth, v 1922, Sherrin (BG). Scotland: Midlothian, Currie to Colinton, vi 1874, Sadler (E); Midlothian, Leith Docks, 1922, Grierson (E); Galashiels, Gala water, O. Stewart 136/74 (E). YUGOSLAVIA: Croatia: Susak to Martinscicam, 60 m , Degen ('Gram. Hung.') 232 (O); Jiume (Rijeka), 20m, Smoquina ('Gram. Hung.') 233 (E).
N. AFRICA (+ Canary Islands). ALGERIA: Kerrata, 800 m , Reverchon 274 (E); 03: above Tlemcen, Col des Zarifète, 1200 m , Davis 58843 (E). CANARY ISLANDS: Tenerife, 11 km E Puerto de la Cruz, 20m, D. Long 5567 (E). LIBYA: Tripolitania: Marcella, Gargaresh, 15m, Keith 872 (K); Tripoli, nr University of Tripoli, 100m, Davis 49453 (E). TUNISIA: NE Cap Bon, La Haouaria to Kelibia, Davis 56873 (E).
ASIA (+ Cyprus).AZERBAIDJAN: Apzheronskiy peninsula, E of Baku, 13 v 1952, Tzvelev s.n. (K). CYPRUS: Kyrenia range, Yaila, 800 m , Davis 2842 (E). IRAQ: Erbil: greater Zab near Eski Kellek, 300 m , Gillett 8206 (K); Kirkuk: 30 km S Durbendikhan, Diyala river, $F$. Barkley 7376 (K). ISRAEL: Acre Plain, Qiryat Bialik, Koppel 11218 (HUJ); Hula valley, Wadi Dardara, 24 iv 1925, Smolly s.n. (HUJ). TURKEY: Adana: Tuzla, s.1., Coode \& Jones 316 (E); Izmir: Çesme to Sifne, 30 m , Davis 41783 (E).
N. AMERICA: U.S.A. Arizona: Chiricahua mts, Barfoot Park, 2440m, Blumer 1585 (E); Washington DC, 6 vi 1901, Steele s.n. (E); California: Monterey Co., below Big Sur, s.l., Davis 66798 (E); Califomia, Sonoma Co., Santa Rosa, Heller 5322 (E).
AUSTRALIA: S Australia: E slopes Mt Lofty range, Monarto South, Symon 3120 (K). New South Wales: 18 km Goulbum to Yass, Wologorong Creek, de Nardi 476 (K). Tasmania: Blackman's Bay near Kingston, Rodway 2061 (K).
JAPAN: Honshu, Ishikawa, Taki, Hakui-shi, Pl. Jap. Exsicc. 977 (E).
NEW ZEALAND: Clutha railway station, 1 xii 1974, Hubbard 9 (K); Kiaora, N Otago, 7 x 1974, Hubbard 16 (K).
SOUTH AFRICA: Cape Province, George, xi 1947, Wilman s.n. (K); near Muizenberg, 30m, Crook 1098 (K).

## B. diandrus Roth var. rigidus (Roth) Sales, stat. nov.

Syn.: B. rigidus Roth in Bot. Mag. (Römer \& Usteri) 10 (33): 21-23 (1790). Anisantha rigida (Roth) Hyl., Uppsala Univ. Årsskr. 7: 32 (1945). Anisantha diandra Roth subsp. rigida (Roth) Tzvel., Grasses of the Soviet Union, Part I: 324 (1984). Type: Neotype (here selected): 'Triandria Digynia. Bromus rigidus spiculis
multifloris lato-lanceolatis rigidis, floribus diandris, panicula contracta. Roth, Catal. Bot. 1. p. 17. Habitat in Europa australi (Willdenow Herb., B!).
B. maximus Desf., Fl. Atl. 1: 95 (1798). Type: see notes below.

Icon.: Hitchcock et al., Vascular Plants of the Pacific Northwest. 1, p. 512: B. rigidus var. rigidus (1969). Pignatti, Flora d'Italia 3: 526 (1982).
Habitats. Sand dunes, often by the sea, fixed dunes in maquis, riverside, terrace walls (wheat fields in S Africa). From sea level to 950 m .
Selection of material examined:

> EUROPE. AÇORES: Terceira, Praia da Vitória, 5m , Dansereau et al. 89 (LISE). FRANCE: Vaucluse, v 1844, J. Ball s.n. (E). GREECE: Attica, Phaleri, Orphanides 1198 (E); Insula Syro, Orphanides 1102 (E). ITALY: Sicily, Caltanissetta, 10km W Gela, Manfria, s.l., Davis 63222 (E); Sallipoli, ann. 1883, Groves s.n. (E). PORTUGAL: Douro Litoral: Leça da Palmeira, Boa Nova, Malato-Beliz \& Guerra 13266 (ELVE). Beira Litoral: Ovar, beach of Furadouro, 10m, Silva et al. 4566 (LISE). Estremadura: Serra da Arrábida, beach of Creiro, 30 m, Pedro et al. 177 (LISE). Alto Alentejo: Elvas, beside river Guadiana, 158m, Beliz et al. 351 (LISE). Algarve: Cape St Vicente. 50m, Silva et al. 661 (LISE). SPAIN: Sierra de Gredos, N El Arenal, 950 m , Deverall \& Flannigan 0102 (E). Cadiz: Barbate to Cabo Trafalgar, c. 20m, Davis 61624 ( E ).
> ASIA. ISRAEL: Coastal Galilee, Akhziv, sea shore, 19 iii 1955, Feinbrun s.n. (HUJ); Jaffa, near s.l., Dinsmore 1484 (E). SYRIA: Caiffa, 1863-64, Lowne s.n. (E).
> N. AFRICA (+ Canary Islands). ALGERIA: La Macta, near Mostagenem, 3 v 1936, Faure s.n. (E). LIBYA: Tripolitania, E Tagiura, Sandwith 2062 (K). MOROCCO: WS, El Jadida to Azemmour, Davis 9407 (E); SW, Essaouira (Mogador), 2m, Davis 48353 (E). TUNISIA: N: Ain Sebaa to Jebbara beach, Davis 57752 (E); Hammamet, s.1., Davis 70171 (E). CANARY ISLANDS; Tenerife, Barranco at Los Arulejos, Dickson 105 (E).
> AUSTRALIA: New South Wales, Norwood, s.l., viii 1906, Black 3 (K): South Australia, Meningie, 1 vii 1953, Robertson 1 (K).
> SOUTH AFRICA: S Cape: Riversdale, 60 m , Bohnen 4531 (K); Cape Town, Malmesbury to Hopefield, Fourie 3318 (K).

## COMmENTS ON SYNONYMS OF VAR. DIANDRUS AND VAR. RIGIDUS

B. gussonii Parl., Rar. Pl. Sic. 2: 8 (1840). Type : [Sicily] 'In collibus, ad sepes et in sylvaticis frequens occurrit' (FIAF, photograph seen).

The general facies of the three Parlatore specimens from Sicily is certainly that of $B$. diandrus, but I have no information about the shape of the callus/scar.
B. hispanicus Rivas Ponce in Lagascalia 3: 53 (1973). Type: Spain, arenales del rio Tajo en Alconetar (Caceres), Rivas Goday 3335 (holo-MAF!) = B. diandrus s.l.

The description of $B$. hispanicus is based on a single specimen. According to its author it differs from B. rigidus by its slender awns, oval lodicules, glabrous sheaths and blades; and from B. diandrus by its acute callus and longer awns. However, the specimen described by Rivas Ponce comes well within the range of variation I have observed in these two taxa and should not be considered a separate species. As with many other specimens I have observed, the type of $B$. hispanicus is so much intermediate between $B$. diandrus and B. rigidus that I cannot assign it to either of these varieties. Characteristic features/measurements of the type specimen:

Longest panicle branch of the first node of the panicle: 5.7 cm

Shortest panicle branch of the first node of the panicle: 3.5 cm
Callus/scar shape: strongly acute/elliptic
Lower glume length: 19 mm
Upper glume length: 39 mm
Lemma length: 28 mm
Palea length: 14 mm
Awn length: 9 cm
Caryopsis length: 9 mm (but immature)
Anther length: 4mm
B. maximus Desf., Fl. Atl. 1:95 (1798). Type: Gramen avenaceum paniculatum, locustis spadiceo-albidis. T. Cor. 39 - Vaill. Herb. (holo-P!) = B. diandrus var. rigidus.
Desfontaine's herbarium is at Paris ( P ). The label of the type specimen of B. maximus refers to 'ex Cretâ' which is quite surprising because the species was published in Desfontaine's Flora Atlantica.
Characteristic features/measurements of the type specimen are:
Longest panicle branches of the 1 st node of the panicle: 20 mm
Shortest panicle branches of the 1 st node of the panicle: 3 mm
Callus/scar shape: strongly acute/elliptic
Lower glume length: $25-28 \mathrm{~mm}$
Upper glume length: $32-35 \mathrm{~mm}$
Lemma length: 26-29mm
Palea length: much shorter than lemma
Awn length: 64 mm
Caryopsis length: $11-11.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
Anther length: 0.7 mm
B. rigens L., Mant. Pl. 1: 33 (1767). Type: LINN $93 / 34$ = B. scoparius L.

Although $B$. rigens has often been regarded as a synonym of $B$. rigidus, it is, in fact, a synonym of $B$. scoparius L. (sect. Bromus). Probably due to the similarity between the two epithets and their meaning, 'rigens' was used, wrongly, to designate rigidus-like plants. As far as I could trace, this mistake was first made by Dumortier (1823) when he included both $B$. rigidus and $B$. rigens in sect. Genea.
B. villosus Forssk., Fl. Aegypt.-Arab. 23 (1775). Lectotype (selected here): Herb. Forsskalii no. $69(\mathrm{C}!)=$ B. madritensis.
B. villosus was first related to B. rigidus by Ascherson \& Graebner (1901) who considered B. rigidus a variety of B. villosus. However, the four specimens of villosus from Forsskal's herbarium (C !) are, in fact, B. madritensis. The lectotype, here selected, is the most complete specimen and the only one that has a label by Ascherson identifying it as $B$. villosus Forssk. and B. rigidus is given as a synonym.
Characteristic features/measurements of the lectotype here selected are:
Longest panicle branch of the 1 st node of the panicle: 18 mm
Lower glume length: $6.5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$

Upper glume length: 10 mm
Lemma length: 14 mm
Palea length: shorter than lemma
Awn length: 20 mm
Caryopsis length: $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$
Callus/scar shape: rounded/rounded
MORPHOLOGY OF B. STERILIS AND B. DIANDRUS
The taxonomy of $B$. sterilis has been very straightforward. Its morphology is relatively clear-cut and its most distinctive characteristics are the broadly lax panicle and the long panicle branches bearing usually one single spikelet.
There is far more to say about the multiple morphologies associated with the names B. diandrus and B. rigidus. Botanists overlooked or neglected Roth's descriptions of both species and for a long time these names were not used. The same plants were later described by other botanists with different names; e.g. B. maximus Desf. (1798) [=B. rigidus Roth] and B. gussonii Parl. (1840) [= B. diandrus Roth]. These names, maximus and gussonii, were used at species level and lower ranks, but only rarely in recent times (e.g. B. gussonei in Pignatti, 1982). The name B. villosus $(=$ B. madritensis) was used by Ascherson \& Graebner (1901) to embrace all the variants between B. diandrus and B. rigidus. The same procedure was followed much later by Maire \& Weiller (1955). Contemporary botanists usually recognize two separate species in this group, B. diandrus and B. rigidus. Ovadiahu-Yavin (1969) recognized them as two subspecies of $B$. rigidus, and Hitchcock et al. (1969) as two varieties of B. rigidus.
The characters most often included in recent keys to separate $B$. diandrus from $B$. rigidus are: 1) the panicle structure (lax and spreading or nodding in diandrus; dense and stiffy erect in rigidus); 2) the callus shape (rounded in diandrus $\pm$ as in the other species of the section; pointed in rigidus, a unique feature in the whole section) and 3) the scar shape (almost circular in diandrus $\pm$ as in the other species of the section; elliptic in rigidus, also a unique feature in the whole section). However, I have observed all variations from dense and stiffly erect panicles to lax and spreading ones. In both species the panicle nods at maturity because of the weight of the caryopsides although in $B$. diandrus the panicle branches droop much more obviously but probably just because they are much longer (this last character is often difficult to assess in herbarium specimens and accurate observations are only possible on living material); the callus/scar shape is also very variable. Although most plants with other rigidus-like features have a very pointed callus and a very elliptic scar, the diandrus-like morphology can have less typical rounded and circular callus/scar and may even have pointed and elliptic ones (e.g. the type specimen of B. hispanicus).
Cugnac (1931, 1932, 1934) favoured the theory that B. diandrus was a hybrid between B. rigidus and B. sterilis. In contrast, Fouillade (1933) believed that the polymorphism of $B$. diandrus is due to phenotypic plasticity, $B$. diandrus being more rigidus-like in difficult, arid conditions and more like $B$. sterilis in better ones. The question of hybrid origin has been kept open because the only way to conclusively prove it is to produce
an artificial hybrid that is diandrus-like, but this has not yet been done. Recently, electrophoretic studies of some enzymes and the study of meiosis failed to prove hybrid origin of $B$. diandrus. Common isoforms of malato, alcohol, isocitrato, glutamate and glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase and leucine aminopeptidase were rarely found between B. diandrus and B. sterilis and B. rigidus (Esnault-Blanchard, 1981); and all the meiosis studies in B. diandrus were very regular (Esnault \& Huon, 1985).

## THE PRESENT ANALYSIS

The characters usually used to distinguish $B$. rigidus, $B$. diandrus (panicle branch length and callus/scar shape) and B. sterilis (size of spikelet parts) were re-assessed and other characters, such as the base of lemma including the callus/scar area, were analysed. Special attention was given to the probable methods of dispersal, and to related structures. Morphological variation was analysed in relation to geography and ecology and extensive herbarium material covering the whole range of native and introduced distribution was studied.

Detailed field observations on dispersal biology of $B$. diandrus and B. rigidus were carried out in Portugal.

Pairs of characters were analysed in 75 herbarium specimens to determine any possible correlation. These pairs were: scar length/lemma length; scar length/panicle branches length; scar length/anther length; anthers length/lemma length. This study revealed that for the pairs of characters analysed, any combination is possible and often character states of typical B. diandrus were combined with typical character states of B. rigidus.

The differences between vars diandrus and rigidus are so subtle that the identification of many specimens often cannot go any further than a mere B. diandrus s.l.

## Notes on Particular Features

Panicle shape through the process of maturation
Two populations of $B$. rigidus and occasional plants of $B$. diandrus were measured for the angle that individual spikelets make with the ground. In the early stages of panicle development of var. rigidus, the spikelets are erect making an angle of $0^{\circ}$ or nearly so with the vertical. Towards maturity, the whole plant bends and the panicle axis droops. Individual spikelets of the lower node of the panicle pass through an angle of $180^{\circ}$, being at the end of the life cycle almost vertically upside down, facing the ground at an angle of more than $45^{\circ}$ with it. In cases where the panicle is very condensed and with very few nodes, as I have seen in herbarium specimens of $B$. rigidus, the panicles seem to remain erect. This could be due to the process of pressing but I believe that it can be genuinely so when the panicle is rather reduced. In $B$. diandrus, from the very beginning, the panicles are looser than in B. rigidus and eventually the spikelets face the ground vertically.

## PANICLE BRANCH LENGTH

The length of panicle branches is a plastic character in B. sterilis. In poor water conditions, the length and number of branches, and as a consequence the number of spikelets, is much reduced.(I have observed during a phenotypic plasticity experiment, unpublished, that $B$. sterilis growing in dry conditions often has only a single spikelet).


Fig. 1. Base of second floret in side view. A, B. diandrus var. rigidus where the articulation is absent; $\mathrm{B}, B$. diandrus var. diandrus showing a constrictoin. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

However, short branches cannot be a mere reduction induced by the environment in B. diandrus s.l. In fact, the increased branch length in var. rigidus growing in non-restricted water conditions was not significant. Furthermore, in the survey of the herbarium specimens, I found that long panicle branches are more strongly associated with features of var. diandrus (more rounded callus/scar, longer anthers and base of lemma with a constriction at the callus/scar area - see following heading); but short panicle branches are linked both with the typical var. rigidus morphology and to a greater extent with var. diandrus. Because it is often difficult to judge whether reduction is induced by the environment or not the relevance of this character on its own is very limited.

## CALLUS AND SCAR SHAPE

There is a great variability of callus and scar shape in this group and there is no clear delimitation between the so-called elliptic morphology usually associated with $B$. rigidus and the ovate one associated with $B$. diandrus. I also found that there is no clear distinction between the ovate shape in $B$. diandrus and the round shape of the callus/scar in $B$. sterilis. The intermediate morphologies between $B$. rigidus, $B$. diandrus and $B$. sterilis are strikingly illustrated in Fig. 2. In spite of this continuous variation, it is still possible to a degree, to relate the elliptic/pointed, the ovate/round and the circular/round callus/scar to respectively B. rigidus, B. diandrus and B. sterilis. However, this character, as well as branch length, cannot be used alone to distinguish the three taxa.

FIORET BASE SHAPE
In sect. Genea (as in many other Bromus species) the florets $\pm$ diverge from the rachilla axis towards maturity due to movements centred on a point of articulation at the base of the lemma, as shown as a constriction in B. diandrus var. diandrus (Fig. IB). Towards maturity the angle between the scar (rachilla axis) and the lemma at this articulation region widens so that the whole lemma is separated from the rachilla axis. Apart from this, in some cases the curving of the rachilla segments themselves results in an extra

[^2]
widening of the whole structure. In contrast, a callus/scar elliptic/pointed as in var. rigidus is always associated with lemmas without the previously mentioned articulation area (Fig. 1A). For this reason, the florets remain condensed, which is further emphasized by the rachilla segments remaining straight.
The existence of numerous intermediates and an incomplete, unclear ecological or geographical separation, have accounted for the varietal rank I have given to diandrus and rigidus. I consider that the differences between both varieties are significant, but not yet distinct enough for a higher taxonomic rank. In the fulness of time and with unchanged selection pressures, these minor taxa may further diverge, eventually meriting higher status.

The most distinctive rigidus morphology is comparable to nothing else in the section. but the most typical diandrus resembles $B$. sterilis in panicle structure. As a consequence, diandrus has been regarded as intermediate between the other two, sometimes more rigidus-like, other times more sterilis-like.
There has to be some speculation on how to explain diandrus origin. Phenotypic plasticity (Fouillade, 1933) might explain some aspects of the variability of the panicle structure, e.g. number of panicle branches. However, as I have observed, callus/scar shape is not affected by the environment, nor is spikelet structure. The chromosome number fits well with Cugnac's theory of hybrid origin: B. sterilis $(2 \mathrm{n}=28) \times$ B. rigidus $(2 \mathrm{n}=42)=$ B. diandrus $(2 \mathrm{n}=56)$ ! Alternatively, the chromosome numbers could have a different explanation: rigidus could have evolved from diandrus at a time that this was a tetraploid and since then diandrus ploidy has increased. The lack of evidence so far that $B$. diandrus var. diandrus has a hybrid origin strengthens my belief that the intermediate position of this taxon is due instead to: 1) real closeness to B. sterilis; 2) recent evolution and incomplete separation of $B$. diandrus var. rigidus which is evolving from var. diandrus.

## 2. Bromus madritensis, B. rubens, B. haussknechtil and B. Fasciculatus

These taxa have small lemmas and erect, contracted panicles, but the existing great morphological variation makes it difficult to define precisely the boundaries of the constituent members. B. madritensis occupies a rather intermediate position between this group and that of $B$. sterilis especially because its panicle contraction and branch length is very variable. The number of taxa thus far recognized in this group is very considerable.
The species of this group are mainly Mediterranean, with some extensions into SW Asia. The most lax-panicled forms of $B$. madritensis colonize some areas in central and atlantic Europe, but only $B$. rubens is naturalized in large areas of the New World. $B$. fasciculatus and B. haussknechtii have the most restricted distribution, being mainly confined to the E Mediterranean and more western parts of SW Asia.
It is worth mentioning that there are relatively few collections of B. fasciculatus, although there are references to well-established and numerous populations.
B. MADRITENSIS, B. HAUSSKNECHTII AND B. RUBENS
B. madritensis is a highly polymorphic species, sometimes with longer panicle branches and looser panicles, but quite often with more rubens-like condensed panicles. The great number of imprecise subspecific taxa that have been or are recognized within $B$. madritensis and $B$. rubens mirrors the difficulties that botanists have had in understanding both their morphological variability and their similarities.
B. madritensis and B. rubens have traditionally been separated as independent species on the basis of panicle shape, branch length and division, spikelet length, and lemma length and width. However, the character states of these characters often overlap and some are plastic (Esnault, 1984; and personal observations during a phenotypic plasticity experiment). They are, therefore, not precise enough to clearly separate the taxa.

An intensive overall taxonomic revision is much needed to assess the value of: 1) the traditional characters and the recent assessment of them (B. madritensis; Esnault, 1984); 2) newly proposed characters, such as panicle structure (Rivas Ponce, 1988); 3) weighting of certain morphological aspects (such as the structure of sterile florets, Scholz, 1981) that are related to the dispersal biology.

More detailed investigations of these very problematic taxa is required before more conclusive taxonomic decisions can be reached, but my provisional conclusions are that all the material is of one species. If $B$. madritensis and $B$. rubens have to be combined, it appears that the epithet to be adopted is $B$. madritensis. It should be noted that both species were described by Linnaeus in Cent. Pl. I (1755) and were first combined as $B$. madritensis subsp. rubens (L.) Husnot (1899).

At the boundary between B. madritensis and B. rubens there are taxa that have been named B. madritensis subsp. kunkelii H. Scholz (Willdenowia 11: 249-258, 1981) and B. haussknechtii Boiss. (Fl. Orient. 5: 648, 1884). I have studied the type specimens of these four taxa and my observations are summarized in Table 1. B. flabellatus Boiss. is another taxon within this group; I have not studied its type specimen ('prope Hierosolymam', Jerusalem), but from its description it also seems to be intermediate between B. madritensis and rubens.
B. fasciculatus C. Presl, Cyper. Gramin. Sicul. 38 (1820).

Syn: Anisantha fasciculata (C. Presl) Nevski in Acta Univ. Asia Med. Ser. 8b (Bot.) 17: 21 (1934); B. rubens L. subsp. fasciculatus (C. Presl) Trabut in Batt. \& Trab., FI. Alger. Mon. 226 (1895). Type: Sicily; 'in arvis arenosis, Panormi in planitie della Cunzulazione' (PRC). Lectotype selected here - see below.
B. madritensis L. var. delilei Boiss., Fl. Orient. 5: 649 (1884). B. fasciculatus C. Presl subsp. delilei (Boiss.) H. Scholz in Willdenowia 6: 291 (1971).). Type: see note below.
B. fasciculatus C. Presl var. alexandrinus Thell. in Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 5: 161 (1908).
B. fasciculatus C. Presl var. fallax Maire in Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afr. Nord. 33: 97 (1942).
TABLE I. Diagnostic features of the type specimens of B. madritensis, B. madritensis subsp. kunkelii, B. haussknechtii and B. rubens, based on observations in this study.


Icon: Bor, N.L. in Fl. Iraq, ed. Townsend et al. 9: 145, pl. 49 (1968). Täckholm, V., Students' Fl. Egypt, ed. 2, pl. 251A (1974). Plitman, U. et al., Pictorial Fl. Israel, p. 345 (1983).

Annual, $7-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ tall, with tufted, sometimes solitary, slender culms, usually geniculate at base, glabrous, puberulous below the panicle or with very short hairs. Basal leaf sheath with short, retrorse or retrorse-patent hairs or longer woolly hairs, occasionally glabrous throughout; ligule fringed, acute or round at base. Leaf blade acuminate, $2-8 \times 1-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ with short or longer hairs $\pm$ equally dense on both surfaces. Panicle flabellate, at least at maturity, markedly cuneate at the base, stiffly erect, usually condensed (in very dwarf plants reduced to (1-)2 spikelets), $3-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long including the awns, up to 3.5 cm from the lower to the top node. Panicle branches simple or with ramifications, very short. Panicle axis and branches glabrous or hispidulous. Spikelets lanceolate at earlier stages when glumes and lemmas are strongly imbricate, soon broadening and becoming flabellate, $20-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ long excluding awns, up to 8 mm wide, cuneate at base, compressed, with florets well-separated at maturity. Florets (6-)10-15 per spikelet with 2-3 uppermost ones sterile. Glumes and lemmas narrowly lanceolate, dark purple at maturity with a hyaline margin, variously hairy, sometimes ciliate at margins, more rarely glabrous; lower glume 1 -veined, $6.7-8 \times 0.6-0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$, upper glume 3 -veined, $10.8-12 \times$ $1.4-1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lemmas 7 -veined, $11-16 \times 1.3-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 2$-toothed, margins usually overlapping at maturity. Awn straight only when very young, soon curving both outwards and upwards, twisted, inserted $1.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ below lemma apex, as long as or a little longer than lemma; awn of second floret $14-18 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Palea a little shorter than lemma, $9.5-13 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, glabrous on adaxial surface; abaxial surface glabrous or hairy. Stamens 3 ; anthers $0.3-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Caryopsides needle-like, curved outwards, $\pm$ twisted, often inrolled, $7-12.7 \times 0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. The combined twist of caryopsides and awns often gives an overall twist to the whole spikelet. Callus of rachilla segments round or oval.
B. fasciculatus differs from B. rubens by the generally flabellate panicle and flabellate mature spikelets, narrow glumes and lemma, recurved awns, out-curved and often twisted grain and the straight rachilla segments of the top sterile florets.

The type material of B. fasciculatus is a mixed gathering of several specimens of $B$. fasciculatus and another grass, probably a Vulpia. Although the name Festuca scoparia occurs on the label, the description on the label is remarkably similar to Presl's later protologue of B. fasciculatus (1820).

Diagnostic features of the lectotype based on my own observations are:
Habit: tufted and solitary plants, geniculate at base
Height: 6-16cm
Leaf sheath hairiness: short, retrorse and woolly hairs
Panicle shape: flabellate, strongly cuneate at base, stiffly erect, dense; some panicles with only 2 spikelets
Panicle length: $3-5 \mathrm{~cm}$; axis length up to 2 cm from the lowest to the top node
Spikelet shape when young: lanceolate, glumes and lemmas imbricated
Spikelet shape when mature: flabellate, cuneate at base, compressed, florets well-separated at maturity

Lower glume size: $7-7.5 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$
Upper glume size: $8.6-10.2 \times 1-1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$
Lemma size: $13.5-14.5 \times 1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$
Lemma hairiness: glabrous or with very short hairs
Awn posture: curved outwards and upwards, twisted
Awn insertion: $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ below lemma apex
Anther length: 0.4 mm
Caryopsides shape: needle-like, curved outwards and twisted, inrolled
Caryopsides size: $10 \times 0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$
Callus shape: ovate
Habitats. Europe-Mediterranean area: dry places in general; maritime sands, calcareous soil and grassy areas, sometimes along roads. N Africa and SW Asia: along the coast, in wadis, dry steppe and desert; in maritime and desert sands, rocky places, sandy loam, limestone, calcareous, gravelly clay soil, granite, basalt and andesite (in Saudi Arabia), in limestone maquis with Juniperus phoenicea and Pistacia lentiscus in Libya; sometimes in classical ruins; very common in some localities in Cyprus, Israel, Saudi Arabia (Taif Mountains) and Iraq. From 5-1220m, up to 2133 m in Saudi Arabia and to 380 m in the Dead Sea area.
Selection of material studied:
EUROPE. GREECE: Crete, Akrotiri, Korakies hills, 200m, Rechinger 13293 (BM): Kos, Kos
town, Brenan 11175 (K); Rhodos, Rechinger 8373 (K). ITALY: Erauria: Isle of Pianosa,
$5-30 \mathrm{~m}$, Sommier 1220 (K); Sicily: Syraceuse, Bucknall \& White 506 (E). MALTA: sine loc.,
Wright 681 (K). SARDINIA: Antioco, iv 1828-9, Muler s.n. (K); Torre delle Stelle, 30 vi
1981, Hygur s.n. (O).
N AFRICA. ALGERIA: Oran, Djebel-Santo, Balansa 298 (E). EGYPT: Gebel Atagga,
600-800m,Davis 6592B (E); Wadi el Arish, Simpson 2565 (K); Mariut: Abu Sir, Davis 6498B
(K). LIBYA: Benghasi prov., 30km S Agedabia, Simpson 39079 (K); 5 km W Baiadas,
$300-350 \mathrm{~m}$, Davis 49954 (K).
ASIA (+ CYPRUS). CYPRUS: Lacovounera forest, 183m, Chapman 358 (K). IRAQ: DWD:
Jabal Ana, $100-150 \mathrm{~m}$, Khayat \& Hamal 51745 (K); DSD: 12 km ESE of Salman, 240m, Guest
et al. 18848 (K); FUJ: Jebel Makkul near Ajn dibbs, 250 m , Gillett \& Rawi 7208 (K). ISRAEL:
54 km N Eilat, Arava Valley, 13 iii 1951, Orshan \& Zohary s.n. (HUJ); near Ballut: $35^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 32^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$,
26 iv 1919, Ogilvie s.n. (K). JORDAN: Transjordan: Wadi Ram, 914 m , Davis 9007 (K); Azraq
Druze, Townsend 65/318 (K). LEBANON: Beirut, Herb. Post. 163 (K). OMAN: 45km SW
Muscat: Jebel Aswad, 137 Im , Munton 16 (K). SAUDIA ARABIA: Jiddah-Taif road, 1066m,
Collenette 3983 (K); 85 km SW Tabuk: Jabal Dabbagh, 1371m, Collenette 4396 (K); 80 km SW
Madinah: Jabal Warjan, 2133m, Collenette 5225 (K); Taif Highlands, $21^{\circ} 10^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 40^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$,
2133m, Fitzgerald 17060/4 (K). SYRIA: Damascus, Pastuchov 730 (LE); Palmyra, 435m,
Samuelsson 3514 (K). TURKEY: C3, Antalya Konya Alti, 10m, Tengwall 436 (K).

## MORPHOLOGY OF B. FASCICULATUS

The name B. fasciculatus was published in 1820 by Presl based on a specimen from Sicily. It is of historical interest in that it seems there was an earlier recognition of this taxon by Delile, but a description of it was not published. The herbarium at Edinburgh (E) holds several presumed Delile specimens collected by himself or else gathered by his co-collectors in 1801 (when he was with Napoleon's army in Egypt). These
specimens can be easily recognized because of the characteristic handwriting on the labels. One of these specimens at Edinburgh is clearly B. fasciculatus, but its label is: 'Bromus hexastachyos Del. Catal. [possibly a manuscript catalogue housed at Paris (P) or Montpellier (MPU), - pers. inf. I.C. Hedge] n[ou]velle Éspèce, Egypte'. The name $B$. hexastachyos was never validly published, nor does it occur as a nomen in Delile's Flora of Egypt, where the only relevant Bromus listed and illustrated is B. fasciculatus ( $F l$. Aegypt. Illustr. pl. 11, fig. 2, 1813), but named as B. rubens. Later, Boissier (Fl. Orient. 5: 650, 1884) cites B. rubens sensu Delile as a synonym of B. fasciculatus. It seems that initially Delile thought that the dwarf grass, with geniculate and tufted culms, flabellate panicles and curved awns he found in Egypt was a new species but later, recognizing some similarities between this plant and $B$. rubens, gave up his first idea and determined ' $B$. hexastachyos' as B. rubens.

The similarities between $B$. fasciculatus and $B$. rubens have been taxonomically recognized as. for example, in the combination $B$. rubens L. subsp. fasciculatus (Presl) Trabut. Because of its dwarf appearance B. fasciculatus has also been placed with depauperate forms of $B$. madritensis/haussknechtii (B. madritensis L. var. delilei Boiss.). But most often B. fasciculatus has been recognized as a separate species.

Two taxa are often recognized within B. fasciculatus. They are B. fasciculatus var. alexandrinus Thell. (1908), based on a well-developed indumentum; and B. fasciculatus subsp. delilei (Boiss.) H. Scholz, a name whose application is decidedly confusing.

The type locality of B. madritensis var. delilei Boiss. is 'Egypto circa Alexandrian, Delile' and Boissier cites as second localities - 'in deserto Aegyptiaco-Arabico variis locis, Schweinfurth 28! 130! 253! et 456!' plus a reference to 'B. rubens Desf., Ill. p.164, t.11, fig.2'. There is, however, no illustration of $B$. rubens in any of Desfontaine's publications and Boissier was almost certainly referring to Delile's Fl. Aegypt. Illustr. pl. 11, fig. 2, 1813. There are only the Schweinfurth specimens in Boissier's herbarium (G-BOISS!) and the Delile specimen is apparently not in the Delile's herbarium at Paris (P) nor at Geneva. Recently, Scholz (1971) designated as the lectotype of B. madritensis var. delilei, unwisely in my opinion, one of the Schweinfurth specimens cited as secondary collections by Boissier (Schweinf. 456). In fact, apart from being cited as second place, the Schweinfurth specimens cited by Boissier are mixed gatherings: Schweinf. 456, the lectotype chosen by Scholz, is B. fasciculatus; Schweinf. 28 is B. haussknechtii also described by Boissier (Fl. Orient. 5: 648,1884) as a new species from Baghdad, related to B. madritensis; Schweinf. 130 and 253 are mixtures of both fasciculatus and haussknechtii. Furthermore, the description of B. madritensis var. delilei is not precise and fits both B. fasciculatus and B. haussknechtii specimens. In the absence of Delile's Egypt-Alexandria specimen (maybe at Montpellier, MPU) that might clarify Boissier's concept of var. delilei, it is unwise to lectotypify it with a $B$. fasciculatus specimen. The type of $B$. haussknechtii at Geneva ( G !) is a taller, more robust plant than the B. haussknechtii specimens included in var. delilei and this may be the reason why the two plants were placed separately.

I suspect that since Boissier's time nobody has studied the Montpellier type specimen of B. madritensis var. delilei. Nevertheless, this taxon has been included in B. madriten-
sis at subspecific level (Maire, 1955; Ovadiahu-Yavin, 1969) and more recently considered as a synonym of, or a taxon within, B.fasciculatus (e.g. Bor, 1968; Scholz, 1987).

Lately, Scholz $(1971,1987)$ recognized two subspecies in B. fasciculatus and drew attention to a new character: the shape of the callus/scar and pointed out its connection with geographical distribution and hairiness.

## The present analysis

The present analysis is based on the macro-morphological observations of 100 specimens covering the whole range of distribution.
B. fasciculatus has a quite uniform morphology and its variation is mainly that of the number and length of culms per tuft and number of spikelets per panicle. These variations are most probably related to environmental conditions. There is also great variation in the degree of pubescence and some variation in the shape of the callus/scar on the rachilla segments.

## Notes on Particular Features

pubescence
The infraspecific classification of $B$. fasciculatus has been almost entirely based on the different degrees of pubescence. Pubescence on glumes and lemmas has been most often used (Maire, 1955; Bor, 1968; Ovadiahu-Yavin, 1969; Feinbrun-Dothan, 1986): glabrous versus pubescent; pubescent with or without cilia on margins. However, I have found great variation both in the type and degree of pubescence on the whole plant making it quite impossible to establish a clear distinction between the different types described. Other combinations are:
glabrous, but with cilia at margins;
different degrees of density of hair coverage including cilia;
different degrees of the length of hairs including cilia.
Scholz (1987), using the characters of the pubescence of the lower leaf sheaths together with the shape of the callus/scar on rachilla segments and geography, divided $B$. fasciculatus into two subspecies: the type subspecies with leaf sheaths densely villous and callus/scar pointed/ovate, from the Mediterranean area; and subsp. detilei with dense to sparse, short hairs and callus/scar round, from the W Irano-Turanian region. However, I found different degrees of pubescence in both geographical areas and even in different plants of the type specimen of B. fasciculatus. The variation is continuous throughout the total range, from totally glabrous to an indumentum of numerous long hairs giving a woolly cover. There is, therefore, strong evidence to consider the pubescence of leaf sheaths of no taxonomic importance.

CALLUS/SCAR SHAPE
My observations have confirmed the existence of two different forms of the callus/scar and also some geographic connection with them. However, these differences are not as distinct as Scholz's illustrations imply (1987), neither is the geographical separation between them as clear-cut as he describes. It is true that the more eastern plants have a round callus/scar (Figs $3 \& 4 \mathrm{~A}$ ) and the western ones have an oval one (Figs $3 \& 4 \mathrm{C}$ ). But in the E Mediterranean both forms co-exist (Fig. 3) with dominance of the oval type.


Flg. 3. Distribution of $B$.fasciculatus. Often each square represents more than one gathering. E Mediterranean is the area where both callus/scar forms exist and where populations are particularly abundant. $\square$ specimens studied by the author; $\square$ reliable literature records; ? doubtful records; ..... general distribution of specimens with callus/scar ovate; - general distribution of specimens with callus/scar round.

Further, the type specimen of B. fasciculatus and some specimens from Cyprus, Libya and the southwest of the Arabian Peninsula are of intermediate morphology (Fig. 4B) [Greece: Kos, Kos town, Brenan 11175 (K); Cyprus: Lakkovaunera forest, Meiton 962 (K); Libya: 5km W Baiadas, Davis 49954 (K); Jordan, Jebel el’Uweinid, W Azraq, Townsend 65/177 (K); Saudi Arabia: Jabal Warjan, 80km SW Madinah, Collenette 5225 (K)]. Very rarely the callus is also elliptic (Fig. 4D) [Libya: 30km S Agedabia, Simpson 39079 (K); Egypt: Sinai, iii 1929, Meinertzhagen s.n. (K); Israel: Shehumat-Borochov, 14 iii 1933, Naftolsky s.n. (K)].

Despite the geographical connection, the taxonomic significance of the callus/scar shape in B. fasciculatus is in no way comparable to the significance of the same character in B. diandrus/rigidus. In diandrus/rigidus the extreme forms, pointed and oval, are indeed very distinct and easily recognizable.


Fig. 4. Scanning electron micrographs of the base of the second floret (callus/scar arca) in B. fasciculatus. A, E Mediterranean specimen, callus/scar transversely broadly obovate; B, E Mediterranean specimen, callus/scar less transversely broadly obovate; C, W Mediterranean (type specimen from Sicily) callus/scar broadly obovate; D, E Meditteraneanspecimen, callus/scar narrowly obovate. Shape terminology according to the Systematic Association Committee for descriptive biological terminology(see Fig. 2).
3. B. TECTORUM / LUCIDUS COMPLEX

The two species, B. tectorum L. described from Europe, and B. 'sericeus' Drobov described from C Asia, were previously discussed in some detail and combined at subspecific level (Sales, 1991b): B. tectorum L. subsp. tectorum and subsp. lucidus Sales. Here only their formal taxonomy is presented.

## B. tectorum L., Sp. Pl. 77 (1753).

Annual, $6-90 \mathrm{~cm}$ tall, with solitary or loosely tufted, ascending to erect, slender culms, often minutely pubescent below the nodes and always so just below the panicle. Leaf sheath softly villous to pilose with retrorse patent hairs, apically glabrous or minutely pubescent; occasionally glabrous throughout; ligule fringed, acute or rounded at base. Leaf blade acuminate, $1.5-15 \times 0.2-0.4(-9.5) \mathrm{cm}$ with short or long hairs, denser on the adaxial surface, very often with longer hairs along the margin continuing along the margin of the sheath near the ligule. Panicle condensed and ascending when young, soon becoming lax, nodding and clearly unilateral, deltoid or oblong in outline, $1-15 \mathrm{~cm}$ long from the lowest to the uppermost node. Panicle branches slender, tortuous. Panicle axis and branches slightly to densely pubescent with short or long hairs. Branches longer to shorter than spikelets, with up to 5 ramifications, $0.6-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ long. Spikelets $1-14$ per branch, cuneate, broadening and shortening at maturity when the rachilla segments curve. Florets $5-17$ per spikelet of which only 1-3 are fertile. Glumes and lemma
pale-green, often tinged with purple, with a broad hyaline margin giving a silvery/shiny appearance to the spikelets, usually with small scattered or dense longer hairs, more rarely glabrous. Lower glume narrowly lanceolate, $1-3$-veined, $5.7 \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $10.6 \times$ 1.8 mm ; upper glume lanceolate, $3-7$-veined, $8 \times 1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $13.5 \times 2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lemmas of fertile florets 7 -veined, $6.5-24 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, 2 -toothed at apex; lemma size and vein number decreasing considerably towards the top sterile, narrow-lanceolate, 1 -veined florets. Awn straight, very rarely slightly curved, slender, often with a single twist, inserted $2.5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ below the lemma apex; awn length varying on individual spikelets: their apices either all clearly at the same level or else somewhat irregular with the lowermost always much below the others. Awn of second floret $10-26 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Palea $6.8-14 \mathrm{~mm}$, shorter than lemma, glabrous on adaxial surface; abaxial surface glabrous or hairy with shorter or longer hairs, these sometimes present only between the veins and the margins; long, spreading hairs along the two veins, longer near apex. Stamens 3; anthers 0.51.3 mm long. Caryopsides usually straight, sometimes slightly curved outwards, usually c. 0.2 mm shorter than palea, sometimes as long, or c. 0.3 mm longer. Callus of rachilla segments differentiated only below the upper sterile florets.

This description combines the two morphological extremes that have previously been given independent specific status: B. tectorum L. and B. 'sericeus' Drobov and the many intermediates between them.

## B. tectorum L. subsp. tectorum

Syn: Zerna tectorum (L.) Panz. in Denkschr. Königl. Akad. Wiss. München 1813: 297 (1814) [formal combination not made but clearly based on Bromus tectorum L.]. Anisantha tectorum (L.) Nevski in Acta Univ. Asia Med. ser. 8b (Bot.) 17: 20, 22 (1934); Schedonorus tectorum (L.) Fries in Bot. Not. 9: 131 (1843). Type: Europe. LINN 93/25! - (Smith, 1985: 500, designated this specimen as lectotype.
B. scabriflorus Opiz, Naturalientausch. 9: 119 (1825). Type: 'Bohemia', loc. illegible, Opiz [Herb. Cech. Mus. Nat. Prague, no. 495725 c !].
Anisantha pontica K. Koch in Linnaea 21: 394 (1848). Type: Turkey, Çoruh, Ispir, Koch ( $\mathrm{B} \dagger$ ).
Icon.: Sibthorp \& Smith, Fl. Graeca 1: tab. 82 (1806); Hubbard, Grasses, ed. 3, 62 (1985).
Diagnostic features of the type specimen (LINN 93/25) based on my own observations are:

Leaf blade length: $9-11.5 \mathrm{~cm}$
Panicle length: $8.5-9.2 \mathrm{~cm}$
Longest panicle branch in the lowermost panicle node: 4.9 cm
No. of nodes in the panicle branch above: 1 node with 2 branches
No. of spikelets in the panicle branch above: 3
Panicle branches pubescence: short erect hairs
Spikelet length: 3.5 cm

No. of florets per spikelet: 6 Glume shape: lanceolate
Vein no. on lower glume: 1
Vein no. on upper glume: 3
2nd lemma length: 13 mm
Top of spikelet including awns: irregular
Anther length: 0.7 mm
Callus on rachilla at the base of each fertile plant: fully developed.
Habitats. From sea level up to 4000 m ; on chalk, gypsum, limestone, clay igneous, volcanic ash, basalt, sandy or rocky soil; in semi-desert wadis, steppe, open woods or among shrubs in isolated areas or plantations, in very arid conditions but also irrigated fields, hill slopes, grasslands, meadows, roadsides, disturbed areas; in SW Asia often associated with Juniperus polycarpos, Quercus aegilops, Q. coccifera, Amygdalus sp., Astragalus sp., Pistacia sp. and Populus.
Selection of material examined:
EUROPE. AUSTRIA: Wien, near Nussdorf, 170m, Keller 5372 (E); Burgenland, near Ne' siedl am See, Jacobs 5986 (BG). BELGIUM: Goé, 18 v 1908, Mairlot s.n. (O). BULGA RIA: Turnovo, 14 v 1915, Mruvicka s.n. (SOM); Vama, 1896, Javasott s.n. (SOM); Sofia near Airport, 22 v 1977, Vibodeevsky s.n. (SOM). CORSICA: Propriano, Webster 14451 (E). CZECHOSLOVAKIA: Praha, 300 m , Rohlena \& Domin 712 (E). Brno, Cemá, c. $250 \mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{v}$ 1947, Jedlicka 1396 (E). DENMARK: Silkeborg, 9 vii 1883, Holm s.n. (O); Skanderborg, 7 vii 1971, Lojtnant \& Pedersen 696 (O). FRANCE: Auvergne, Puy de Dome, viii 1840, J. Ball s.n. (E); Paris, Jervett s.n. (E); Nantes, 28 vi 1954, Stormer (O). GERMANY: Würzburg, c. 180 m , Botanische Vereinigung Würzburg. 391 (BG); Karlsrühe, c. 117 m, Kneucker 289 (O); Berlin, Potsdam, J. Ball s.n. (E); Hamburg, vii 1840, Maly s.n. (E-GL). GREECE: Psara Island, $10-100 \mathrm{~m}, 22$ iv 1973, Greuter 10978 (E); Porto hago, 22 iv 1965, Coode \& Jones 42 (E). HUNGARY: Budapest, Scti Gerardi Hill, 120m, Degen 231 (E). IRELAND: Cork, 19 vi 1891, Scully 1837 (E). ITALY: Pedemonte, Val Toumanche near Busserailles, vii 1879, J. Ball (E); Verona, Venetia, near Rivoli, 160-190m, 2 vii 1897, Herb. J. Landmark s.n. (BG); Calabria, 43 km N of Catanzaro, Davis \& Sutton D. 65321 (E). NORWAY: S: West-Agader, Kristiansand, Odderoya, Silokaia, Tore Ourem 38098 (O); SE: Oslo, Hovda 115 (O); SW: Bergen, 1908, Holmboe s.n. (O); N: Tromso, Tromsoya, Rundvannet/ Stakkevollan, 160m, 5 x 1982, Elven s.n. (O); N: Nordland, Sør-Trøndelag, Buvik, Lyche 32606 (O). PORTUGAL: N: Bragança, Lameiros, Pereira Coutinho 188 (LISU); N: Trás-os-Montes \& Alto Douro, Mirandela, Beliz \& Ruivo 800 (ELVE); C: Guarda, Torriäo, $500-1000 \mathrm{~m}$, R. Fernandes \& Sousa 3265 (COI); S: Alto Alentejo, Portel Hills, road to Oriola, Abegoaria, c. 400 m , Malato-Beliz et al. 16607 (ELVE). ROMAINIA: Brasov, near 'Rétyi Nyir', 1911, sine coll. 352 (E). ROSSYA: Pskov, 18 v 1913, Andreev s.n. (E); Novgorod, 17 vii 1925, Selivanova s.n. (LE); Moskva, 30 vi 1967, coll. illeg. s.n. (LE). SICILY: Catania, $N$ of Nicolosi, 2500 m , Davis \& Sutton D. 64415 (E); Etna, v 1841, J. Ball s.n. (E). SPAIN: Sierra de Gredos, 950m, Deverall \& Flannigan 0151 (E); Granada, Sierra Nevada, 3100m, Chamberlain et al. 258 (E); Cuenca: Sierra de Valdeminguete, 1600-1700m, Brummitt et al. 595 (E); Logrono/Soria: Sierra de la Urbion, 1700 m , Dresser 627 (E). SWEDEN: Uppsala, Hartman 389 (O); Öland, 22 vi 1974, Halvorsen s.n. (O); Gotland, 26 vi 1926, Asplund 257 (O); Kristianstad, 10 vii 1912, Tufvesson s.n. (O). SWITZERLAND: Grimsel Alps, viii 1858, Balfour s.n. (E); Zermatt, viii 1905, Brown s.n. (E-GL); Basel, vi 1918, Jermstad s.n. (O). TURKEY: A2, Istanbul: Alibey Koyu, Aveigoil 5848 (E). UNITED KINGDOM: England, Suffolk, Thetford, 29 vi 1885, Linton 2797 (E). Wales, Aberdare, 1902, Riddelsdell 1837 (E). Scotland, Selkirk, 10 vi 1966, Webster 10571 a (E); Edinburgh, Leith Docks, 20 vi 1883, Christie s.n. (E). UKRAINE: Kerson, E. Pobedimova 5109 (E); Kiev, Tarashcha, 30 vi 1916, D. Litvinov s.n. (E). YUGOSLAVIA: Sarajevo, 13 vii 1960, Webster 4031 (E).


#### Abstract

N AFRICA (+ CANARY ISLANDS). ALGERIA: A1, Chréa, c.1500m, Davis 59132 (E); Cl, Col de Telmet, W Batna, 1450m, Davis 52584 (E); Hl, Tiaret to Aflou, 1100m, Davis 58588 (E). CANARY ISLANDS: Tenerife: El Portillo, 2030m, Tore Ourem 30637 (BG); Llano de Ucanca, 2250m, 11 iii 1960, Johannes Lid s.n. (O). Los Azulejos, $2230 \mathrm{~m}, 29$ iv 1957, Johannes Lid s.n. (O); B'co. Riachuelo, 2050m, 28 iv 1984, C. Rodriguez s.n. (E-GL). MOROCCO: MA, between Azrou and Timhadite, 1850 m , E. Paunero et al. 1928-29 (E). ASIA (+ CYPRUS). AFGHANISTAN: N: Baghlan: W-exposed slopes in dense Juniperus polycarpos stand, 2400 m , Freitag 2707 (hb. Freitag); SW: Herat: mountain above Chesmeh Obeh, stony slopes, 2400 m , Hedge, Wendelbo \& Ekberg, W. 7853 (E); SE: Zabul: Kalat-i-Ghilzai, 15 km SW, intensively grazed, dominated by dwarf Amygdalus semidesert, 1550 m , Freitag 413 (hb. Freitag); E: Kapisa: Panjir-Tal, [2n=14],2500m, Podlech 12811 (E); NE: Badakhshan: Anjuman Pass, 4050 m , Podlech 12344 (E); C: Banian: Band-i-Amir, c. 2800 m , Rechinger 18400 (W). ARMENIA: Vedibassar Prov., prope Beink-Vedi, mont. Jlanln, Schelkovnikov 10128 (E); Erivan, Schugavit-Noragavit, Araratian 11627 (E). CHINA: Qinghai: Huang Yan Hsien (W from Xining), in vegetable garden, Keng 5477 (K); Gansu: Labrang, Kan-ping-ssu, near Xining, exposed steppe, Keng 5750 (K); Sichuan: between Batang and Iachienlu, ix-x 1904, Hosie s.n. (K). CYPRUS: Tripilos, 9 iv 1933,Foggie 164 (E); 1380m, 9 vi 1961, Young 7368 (E). EGYPT: A. Kaiser 783 (G); Schimper 175 (E-GL). IRAN: N: Azerbaijan: 4750’E, $38^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 2400 \mathrm{~m}$, D.Walton 98 (E); E of Arak, 1645m, Archibald 1732 (E); E: Shahrud-Bustam: Qaleh Bala, 1120 m , Rechinger 50356 (W); C: Tehran: Tehran, taller plants from close to rock, shorter from open ground, c. 1700 m , Lamond 2783 (E); S: 42 Km Kerman to Bam, 1900m, Léonard 6014 (BR). IRAQ: MRO: Shaqlawa, abundant, 1066 m, R. Haines 727 (E). JORDAN: Gerash, 530m, Meyer \& Dinsmore G747 (E); Petra, 970 m , J. Dinsmore 6747 (E). PAKISTAN: W: Baluchistan:Ziarat, 2438m,R.R.Stewart 27935 (RAW); near Quetta, common desert annual near Quetta, R. R. Stewart 27934 (RAW); NW Himalaya: Chamba, Pangi, Hunan Mullah, c. $3352 \mathrm{~m}, 9$ vii 1917, R. R. Stewart s.n. (RAW); Lahul, Kyelang, Bor 9220 (RAW); Kashmir: Astor Distr., Chillam, Gilgit road, 3048 m, R. R. Stewart 18995 (RAW). SYRIA: Jabal Druze, N of Shahba, Tell Shihan, Barkoudah 1263 (E); Nebk, Mar Musa, Davis 5542 (E). TURKEY: A5, Yozgat, Cekerek, 1250m, Dogan 218 (E); B9, Bitlis, Bitlis, 1550m, Davis 43370 (E); C5, Nigde, Hasan Dag, 2300m, Davis et al. 18944 (E); C8, Mardin, Savur, 900m, Davis \& Hedge D. 28547 (E). TURKMENSAKA: Krasnowodsk, Sintenis 1585 (K); Kopet Dag mountains, 900 m, V. V. Nikitin et al. s.n. (K). UZBEKSKAYA: c.70km W Buchara, Om, C. Townsend 86/104 (K). AMERICA. CANADA: Ontario, Niagara Falls, 11 vi 1891, J. Macoun s.n. (E); U.S.A.: Califormia, Tejon Pass, 1200 m , Davis \& Lightowlers 67025 (E); Colorado: Paradox, Montrose Co., 1670 m, E. Walker 170 (E); Idaho: Boise, c. $800 \mathrm{M}, ~ J$. Clark 52 (E); Maryland: Columbia, A. Ruth 880 (E); Michigan: near Port Huron, 27 vi 1903, C. Dodge s.n. (E); Montana, 1066m, Hamilton 762 (E); Nevada: Nye Co., c. 210 m , A. Heller 9685 (E); N Carolina: Rowan County, A. Radford 44737 (E); Oregon: Klamath valley, W. Cusick 2844 (E).

AUSTRALIA: Canberra, A.C.T., Thredbo River, near Jindabyne, abundant as weed of disturbed and burnt areas near the river, L. Adams 1536 (K); Victoria: Melbourne, cow market, xi 1921, O. Brien s.n. (K). NEW ZEALAND: South Island, Cromwell Gorge, J. Hubbard 253380 (K).


## COMMENTS ON INFRA-SPECIFIC VARIATION

The most relevant varieties described within B. tectorum subsp. tectorum are:
var. nudus Klett \& Richt., Fl. Leipz. 109 (1830) and var. glabratus Spenner, Fl. Friburg, 1: 152 (1825) - with glabrous spikelets, as in the Linnaean type specimen; var. hirsutus Regel in Act. Hort. Petrop. 7: 600 (1880); var. genuinus Gren. \& Godr., Fl. Fr. 3: 583 (1853); var. pubescens Schur., Enum. Pl. Transsilv. 805 (1866) - with pubescent spikelets; and var. longipilus (Kum. \& Sendt.) Richt., Pl. Eur. 1: 114 (1890) - with very long hairs indeed;
var. ponticus (C. Koch) Ascherson \& Graebner, Syn. Mitteleur. Fl. 2: 594 (1901) with spikelets having only one fertile floret;
var. grandiflorus Hack. ex Fedtsch. in Bull. Jard. Bot. Pierre Grand 14, Suppl. 2: 89 (1915), nom. nud. - with very long spikelets.

In my opinion, varieties based on pubescence should not be recognized. Indumentum varies continuously from almost absent to dense with long hairs, and this pattern of variation occurs not only in the other Genea species as already mentioned, but in Bromus in general. Nor do I consider varieties based on the number of fertile florets as worth recognition, although I think it is possible that underlying this single-grained phenotype there is, at least sometimes, a real genotypic variation. The combination of this character with lush vegetative growth [ e.g. in the type specimen of B. scabriflorus Opiz and in Afghanistan: N: Baghlan: N Salang, 2400m, Freitag 2707 (herb. Freitag!)] shows that at least sometimes the former is not a reduction due to phenotypic plasticity in less favourable environmental conditions. Also, some specimens of subsp. tectorum that grow in very poor conditions in SW Asia, often with subsp. lucidus, have 3 fertile florets.

The diagnostic features of the type specimen of B. scabriflorus based on my own observations are listed below:

Leaf blade length: $4.8-13 \mathrm{~cm}$
Panicle length: 11 cm
Longest panicle branch in the lowermost panicle node: 6.8 cm
No. of nodes in the panicle branch above: 3
No. of spikelets in the panicle branch above: 6
Panicle branches pubescence: many, very short hairs
Spikelet length: 1.4 cm
No. of florets per spikelet: 7 (1-2 fertile)
Vein no. on lower glume: 1
Vein no. on upper glume: 3
2nd lemma length: $10.7-11.3 \mathrm{~mm}$
Outline of spikelet including awns: irregular
Anther length: 0.8 mm
Callus on rachilla at the base of each fertile floret: fully developed
2nd awn length: $13-15.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
2nd palea length: $6.8-7.7 \mathrm{~mm}$
B. tectorum L. subsp. Iucidus Sales in FI. Veg. Mundi IX, 32 (1991). Type: as for $B$. sericeus Drobov.

Syn.: B. sericeus Drobov in Repert Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 21: 39 (1925), non B. sericeus Tenore, Fl. Nap. Prod. 1(1): X (1811-15). Type: Syr Darya district, Tashkent, middle part of Keles basin, Kaplanbeck demarcated area. c. $1500 \mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{v}$ 1921, Abolin 7496 TAK!). Lectotype selected by Tsvelev, Grasses of Soviet Union 1: 326 (1984).
B. sericeus Drobov subsp. fallax H. Scholz in Willdenowia 19: 133 (1989), nomen confusum [the type specimen represents one of the many morphological variants between subsp. lucidus and the
type subspecies]. Type: Sinai, auf Granitsand am Fusse des Dschebel Musa, 1500m, Kneucker 290 (holo. B!).
B. moeszii Pénzes in Magyar Bot. Lapok 33: 24 pl. 10 (1934). Type:

Iran, Auf Aker u. Strassen Graben bei [Daulatabad] Dolitabad, Pichler 18 (holo. G!).
Icon.: Bor, N. L. in Fl. Iraq, ed. Townsend \& Guest 9: tab. 53 (1968).
Diagnostic features of the type specimen based on my own observations:
Leaf blade length: $2.8-5 \mathrm{~cm}$
Panicle length: $2.8-4.5 \mathrm{~cm}$
Longest panicle branch in the lowermost panicle node: $0.8-2.3 \mathrm{~cm}$
No. of nodes in the panicle branch above: $0-1$
No. of spikelets in the panicle branch above: 1-2
Panicle branches pubescence: short erect hairs
Spikelet length: $2.6-2.9 \mathrm{~cm}$
No. of florets per spikelet: 10-11
Glume shape: ovate-oblong
Vein no. on lower glume: $3(4,5)$
Vein no. on upper glume: 7
2nd lemma length: $18.1-18.3 \mathrm{~mm}$
Top of spikelet including awns: irregular
Anther length: 1.2 mm
Callus on rachilla at the base of each fertile floret: none or very incomplete
2 nd awn length (second fertile floret from the base): $23.2-24 \mathrm{~mm}$
2nd palea length: $12.2-12.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Habitats. From sea level to 1900 m , generally at higher altitudes in Iran, Afghanistan and often E Mediterranean, but in lowlands in Turkey, Saudi Arabia, Iraq and Kuwait; on more or less dry silt, usually over limestone, calcareous, clay, lava, gypsum and saline soil; in compact,stony or gravelly, loose drifted or fixed sandy soil; in desert and semi-desert wadis, steppe, very rarely in wet areas (probably introduced), such as muddy gravelly river banks; hill slopes, open flat valleys, as a weed in fields, rarely in gardens; often with Halothamnus subaphyllus, Amygdalus, Artemisia, Astragalus glaucophyllus and Malcolmia grandiflora.
Selection of material examined - a distribution map is given in Sales, 1991b fig. 5:
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#### Abstract

Quetta: Sariab, 1700m, Rechinger 28842 (W): Khanai, V. Parkash 16473 (RAW). SAUDI ARABIA: N: Wadi Ar-ar Project: Al-Barka, Al-Sooqi 3975 (RIY); Al-Harra, Chaudhary \& Al-Jouid 10852 (E); N/C: c.30km W of Al Majma'ah, c. 700 m , Podzorski 921 (E). TURKEY: C7, Malatya, c.112m, E. K. Balls 2246 (E); C9, Siirt, Sirnak to Gizre, 610m, Davis 42684 (E). UZBEKSKAYA: Flora Bucharica, Neustruev 201 (LE).
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[^0]:    * Departamento de Botânico, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal

[^1]:    EUROPE. AUSTRIA: Wien, near Matzleinsdorf, 22 vi 1898, Krebs s.n. (E); Styria, 1842, Alexander s.n. (E); Graz, Gosting, 360m, Fritsch in Hayek 406 (E). BULGARIA: Sofia, near the airport, 5 vi 1977, Vihodcevsky s.n. (SOM); Struma, near Kocerinovo, Stoeva 1000 (E); N Bulgaria, Lovec, 1894, Krumoff s.n. (SOM); CZECHOSLOVAKIA: Bmo to Vinohradska,

[^2]:    Opposite. Fig. 2. Scanning electron micrographs of the base of the second floret (callus/scar area) illustrating the continuous range of callus shape from pointed to rounded and scar from narrowly ovate to transversely obovate. A \& B, B. diandrus var. rigidus, callus/scar very pointed /narrowly ovate; C \& D, B. diandrus s.I.; E, B. diandrus var. diandrus, callus/scar pointed/angulate obovate; $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G} \& H, B$. sterilis, callus/scar round/transversely obovate. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Shape terminology according to the Systematics Association Committee for descriptive biological terminology in Taxon 11: 145-156, 245-247 (1962).

[^3]:    ASIA (+ CYPRUS). AFGHANISTAN: N: Samangan: Taschkurgan, 420 m , Freitag 5062 (hb. Freitag); SW: Herat; Obeh, 'Hot Springs’ valley, 1371m, R. \& E. Gibbons 506 (E); SE: Kandahar: 60 km W Kandahar, 900 m , Freitag 503 (hb. Freitag). IRAN: W: Kordestan: Sanandaj, 1300 m , Jacobs 6722 (W); E: between Dasht-e-Kavir and Dasht-e-Lut, 1250m, Léonard 5521 (BR); C: Semnan: SE of Shahrud, 830 m , Freitag 15262 (hb. Freitag); S: Baluchistan: 100km S Zahedan to Khash, 1750m, Rechinger 54735 (E). IRAQ: DWD: 13km E K3, 680m, Rawi et al. 32959 (K); FPF: 20km to Khanqin, Fanzi \& Noovi 39591 (K); LCA: Haswa, between Baghdad and Falluja, Omar \& Wedal 47500 (K); MAM: Jarsang to Amadiya, Kaim et al. 41010 (K). JORDAN: Wadi Araba-Wadi Khalid (N Fenan), $350 \mathrm{~m}, 9$ iii 1986, Kürschner (E); Wadi Ram, Davis 9105 (E). KUWAIT: 6th Ring Road, near the Golf course, 40 m , Rawi et al. 10936 (KT); Sobiyah, by the sea shore, Rawi \& El-Kholy 12330 (KT); along the Salmi highway, 136km from Rikka, 200m, Rawi et al. 10650 (KT). PAKISTAN: W:

