

ERRATA

A monographic revision of *Retrophyllum* (Podocarpaceae)

In the revision of *Retrophyllum* published in a previous issue of the *Edinburgh Journal of Botany*, the barcode for the specimen designated on page 208 line 10 as lectotype of *Retrophyllum minus* (Carrière) C.N. Page was incorrectly given as P00118110 instead of P00188110. The text should read:

Lectotype designated here: New Caledonia, Yaté, “bord du Lac Arnaud”, *Vieillard* 1275 (P: sheet barcoded P00188110, top left sterile specimen, here designated *110-A*: see Appendix I).

There are several other instances in the paper where Paris herbarium barcodes correctly ‘P00188XXX’ were given as ‘P00118XXX’, as follows.

Page 242, line 19: ‘P00118111’ should read ‘P00188111’.

Page 242, line 26: ‘P00118108–110’ should read ‘P00188108–110’.

Page 242, line 27: ‘P00118111’ should read ‘P00188111’.

Page 250, penultimate line: ‘P00118106’ should read ‘P00188106’.

Page 251, line 11: ‘P00118107’ should read ‘P00188107’.

Page 253, line 26: ‘P00118110’ should read ‘P00188110’.

Page 254, line 1: ‘P00118111’ should read ‘P00188111’.

Page 254, line 20: ‘P00118108’ should read ‘P00188108’.

REFERENCE

MILL, R. R. (2016). A monographic revision of *Retrophyllum* (Podocarpaceae). *Edinburgh J. Bot.* 73(2): 171–261.

ROBERT R. MILL