FLORISTIC INVENTORY OF ONE HECTARE OF PALM-DOMINATED CREEK FOREST IN JENARO HERRERA, PERU

R. M. PRICKETT^{1,2}, E. N. HONORIO C.³, Y. BABA¹, H. M. BADEN¹, C. M. Alvez V.² & C. A. Quesada⁴

A floristic inventory was carried out in an area of palm-dominated creek forest in Jenaro Herrera, in the northeast of Peru. All trees ≥ 10 cm dbh were surveyed in a one-hectare permanent plot using the standard RAINFOR methodology. There were 618 individuals belonging to 230 species, 106 genera and 43 families. The results showed that the total basal area of the trees in the plot was 23.7 m². The three species with the highest importance value indexes were *Iriartea deltoidea* Ruiz & Pav., *Oenocarpus bataua* Mart. (Arecaceae) and *Carapa procera* DC. (Meliaceae). The five most dominant families in order of importance were Arecaceae, Fabaceae, Meliaceae, Euphorbiaceae and Sapotaceae. Although the soil of this plot was poorly drained, the number of trees and the diversity of the plot were typical for terra firme forest in the western Amazon.

Keywords. Amazonia, diversity, floristic composition, permanent sample plot, terra firme forest.

INTRODUCTION

The neotropical Amazon rainforest covers 757 million hectares in total (Eden, 1990). This rainforest is a rich, heterogeneous patchwork of distinct forest types, and its floristic variability is affected by a combination of climatic, edaphic and ecological variables (Gentry, 1988; Pitman *et al.*, 2001; Vormisto, 2002; ter Steege *et al.*, 2003; Macía & Svenning, 2005; Haugaasen & Peres, 2006; Honorio *et al.*, 2009). Long-term monitoring of permanent plots has been fundamental in the study of spatial variability of forest structure, biomass and composition within a region as large as the neotropics (Malhi *et al.*, 2002). The first standardised permanent plot in Amazonia was established by Gentry (1988); more recently, standardised, rigorous methods have led to a network of such plots, most notably, for our area, RAINFOR, the Amazon Forest Inventory Network (Malhi *et al.*, 2002). Many inventories of the lowland Amazon have been completed, but the area is so vast and the diversity so high that

¹ Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, 20A Inverleith Row, Edinburgh EH3 5LR, Scotland, UK. E-mail: rubyprickett@gmail.com

² Department of Botany, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Republic of Ireland.

³ Instituto de Investigaciones de la Amazonía Peruana, Av. José A. Quiñones km 2.5, Iquitos, Peru.

⁴ Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, Brazil.

further study is required (Kahn & Mejia, 1990, 1991; Milliken, 1998; Nebel *et al.*, 2001). In particular, certain forest types, including economically important palm forests, have remained under-represented. However, their investigation is vital for informed decisions to be made about biodiversity conservation and sustainable use (Vormisto, 2002). Additionally, knowledge of their structural characteristics is useful in the development of more accurate climatic models (Malhi *et al.*, 2002; ter Steege *et al.*, 2003).

In the light of this gap in our knowledge, this study aimed to investigate the species composition and structure of a palm-dominated creek forest in Jenaro Herrera, Peru (bosque de quebrada, CF in Fig. 1). Such creek forests are located next to streams or their tributaries in high terrain and are subject to flooding during the rainy season (Kahn & Mejia, 1990). Terra firme forests of Jenaro Herrera are dominated by Fabaceae, Lecythidaceae and Sapotaceae; while the first family dominates vast areas of Amazonia, the last two families are generally more common in the east (Honorio et al., 2009). There are also a number of regionally less commonly encountered families present in Jenaro Herrera such as Anisophyllaceae, Aquifoliaceae, Opiliaceae, Sabiaceae and Styracaceae. Jenaro Herrera is also noted for its high diversity of palms (Kahn & Mejia, 1990, 1991). Previous studies on palm forests in the area have focused either on diversity only within the palms (Kahn & Mejia, 1990, 1991) or on the assemblage of species found in conjunction with palm species (Freitas, 1996a, 1996b). In our study we describe a novel assemblage of species found in the Jenaro Herrera region and, with the establishment of a permanent one-hectare plot, note that monitoring of this forest type has now begun within the RAINFOR network.

STUDY AREA

The *bosque de quebrada* or creek forest plot (JEN-13) was established in June 2007 at 4°55'S, 73°32'W near Jenaro Herrera, km 16 on the road to Angamos and 1.6 km northeast of the intersection with the Copal River (Fig. 1). The site is located 200 km south of Iquitos on the east margin of the Ucayali River, Peru, and is c.160 m above sea level. According to the records of the National Meteorological and Hydrological Service of Peru (SENAMHI), from 1987 to 2001 Jenaro Herrera had a mean annual temperature of 26°C, mean annual rainfall of 2724 mm and a humidity of 86%. There is a wet season from December to March and a dry season, when it rains less than 180 mm per month, from July to September (Honorio *et al.*, 2008).

Methods

A one-hectare plot was set according to the RAINFOR manual (Phillips & Baker, 2002). This was composed of 25 subplots along the course of a stream, each measuring 20×20 m (Fig. 2). All individuals with ≥ 10 cm diameter at breast height (dbh, 1.3 m) were measured and marked with a numbered aluminium tag. Lianas were measured at three points: 1.3 m along the stem from the ground, 1.3 m vertically from the ground and at the maximum diameter within 2.5 m vertically



FIG. 1. Vegetation map of Jenaro Herrera (JH) indicating location of study site (modified from Lopez-Parodi & Freitas, 1990). BI: beach and island vegetation; AV: aquatic vegetation; RF: riverine forest; BWPF: black water palm forest; BWBLF: black water broadleaf forest; LTPF: low terrace palm forest; CF: creek forest; LTBLF: low terrace broadleaf forest; HTF: high terrace forest; LHF: low hill forest; WSF: white sand forest.

from the ground. Where large numbers of dead leaf-bases occurred around the base of the palm stems they were cut away until the trunk was uncovered, and the diameter was measured at 1.3 m from the ground. For trees with stilt or buttress roots, the point of measurement was 50 cm above the highest root. Where deformities occurred on the trunk at 1.3 m the measurement was taken 2 cm below the deformity. All trees were mapped within each subplot. To aid relocation, all corners of the subplots were marked with 1 cm-thick PVC pipes, and permanence of the plot was guaranteed by the landowner's agreement not to disturb it or the



FIG. 2. Map of the plot. Each point represents an individual tree. The plot followed the course of a stream.

surrounding area. Five soil samples were taken from the centre of subplots 1, 5, 10, 15 and 20 at a depth of 0–30 cm. The analyses were performed at the Instituto de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA) in Manaus, Brazil using standard lab procedures described in Quesada *et al.* (2010).

The palm species were identified in the field. A voucher specimen was collected for all other species. Identification work was carried out at Herbario Herrerense, the herbarium of the field station in Jenaro Herrera; further identification was carried out in the herbarium of La Molina, Lima. As much of the material collected in this study was sterile, identification to species was sometimes impossible. The abbreviations 'aff.' and 'cf.' were used where the species were not known but had a clear similarity to a known species. The abbreviation 'aff.' was used for a species clearly related to a known species but also likely not to be that species; 'cf.' was used when the species may be the same as the species to which it was compared but the identification was uncertain. Specimens are housed in the herbaria of the Instituto de Investigaciones de la Amazonía Peruana in Jenaro Herrera (Herbario Herrerense; HH) and in the Amazonense Herbarium in Iquitos (AMAZ), as well as the Universidad Nacional Agraria, La Molina (MOL) in Peru and the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh (E) in Scotland.

DATA ANALYSES

Data were analysed following Mori *et al.* (1983). Relative frequency, relative dominance and relative abundance were calculated for each species and these values were used to determine the importance values for each family and species present.

The relative frequency for species x is equal to the number of subplots where species x occurs divided by the total frequency for all species. The relative abundance is equal to the number of individuals of species x divided by the total number of individuals. The relative dominance is equal to the basal area of species x divided by the total basal area. The relative dominance, frequency and abundance are all expressed as percentages. Family importance values (FIV) were obtained by adding the relative frequency, dominance and abundance for all species within each family.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Forest structure

The plot JEN-13 was set along a tributary of the Copal River. This contained 618 trees and 15 lianas with a total basal area of 23.9 m². Lianas, occurring on a total of eight trees, and four stranglers (Coussapoa trinervia Spruce ex Mildbr., Urticaceae), were recorded in three separate subplots. Lianas were not collected or identified, and for the purposes of this study were disregarded. The mean number of individuals per subplot was 24.7, with a maximum of 35 and a minimum of 12. The trees populated all subplots at a more or less equal density, with the exception of subplot 25, which contained a recent tree fall gap. The soils were classified as gleysols, a soil type often found where there is inundation for part of the year. The soils were sandy, acidic, highly weathered and nutrient poor (Table 1) as in other terra firme forests of Jenaro Herrera (Honorio et al., 2008). This plot had low concentration of bases (0.4 cmol_c/kg) compared to other forests in terra firme of the region where values are over 1 cmol /kg (Quesada et al., 2010). Low values of the sum of bases are found mainly in terra firme forest of central and eastern Amazonia and on patches of highly leached soils in western Amazonia such as Sucusari and Allpahuayo in Peru and El Zafire in Colombia (Quesada et al., 2010).

The mean basal area per subplot was 0.95 m^2 , with a maximum of 1.61 m^2 and a minimum of 0.56 m^2 . The total basal area (23.9 m²) for the plot is significantly lower than those previously reported from terra firme forests in central Amazonia, such as 31 m^2 (Milliken, 1998) and 27.6 m² to 32 m^2 (Campbell *et al.*, 1986), but similar to values of other forests in the area (Table 2). The great majority of trees (90%) were less than 30 cm dbh (Fig. 3). The mean tree diameter was 19.8 cm and the maximum was 110.5 cm. The inverse j-shaped curve is consistent with the pattern found in other Amazon forest plots and is indicative of undisturbed forest (Balslev *et al.*, 1987). The family with the largest average dbh was Fabaceae (19.9 cm), followed by Moraceae (19.6 cm), Meliaceae (19.4 cm), Myristicaceae (19.2 cm) and Arecaceae (18.3 cm).

Soil property	Content	Unit
Clay	15.73	%
Silt	22.34	%
Sand	61.93	%
С	2.42	%
Ν	0.33	%
C:N ratio	7.33	
Total P	131.68	mg/kg
Ca^{2+} Mg^{2+} K^+	0.172	cmol _c /kg
Mg^{2+}	0.101	cmol _c /kg
K ⁺	0.092	cmol _c /kg
Na ⁺	0.048	cmol _c /kg
Al ³⁺	1.363	cmol _c /kg
Sum of bases	0.413	cmol _c /kg
ECEC	1.78	cmol _c /kg
pН	4.31	

TABLE 1. Soil properties of plot JEN-13, a palm-dominated creek forest in Jenaro Herrera, Peru

ECEC, effective cation exchange capacity.

This analysis did not distinguish between buttressed and stilt-rooted trees, between them comprising 42% of the individuals surveyed, of which 153 (25%) were palms. Milliken (1998) found that 28% of trees possessed stilt or buttress roots and Balslev *et al.* (1987) and Mori *et al.* (1983) found that 17% of trees in unflooded forest had

TABLE 2. Floristic and structural data for different forest types in Jenaro Herrera, Peru

Forest type	No. of trees (/ha)	Basal area (m ² /ha)	Area (ha)	No. of families	No. of species
Riverine forest ^a	510	24.12	2.00	38	147
Riverine forest, high restinga ^d	456	24.70	1.00	45	139
Riverine forest, low restinga ^d	566	22.60	1.00	46	181
Black water palm forest ^a	490	32.66	1.00	28	58
Black water broadleaf forest, bajial ^a	517	24.50	0.75	33	123
Black water broadleaf forest, restinga ^a	522	21.95	0.75	31	98
Black water broadleaf forest, tahuampa ^d	503	27.70	1.00	49	173
Low terrace palm forest ^b	883	32.08	1.00	34	158
Low terrace broadleaf forest ^b	666	25.73	1.00	43	243
High terrace forest ^c	582	24.82	1.25	42	284
White sand forest, varillal ^c	830	19.51	1.50	28	72
White sand forest, varillal ^b	988	19.83	0.50	25	58
White sand forest, chamizal ^c	452	5.27	0.50	07	13
White sand forest, chamizal ^b	462	8.61	0.50	15	29
Creek forest (this study)	618	23.90	1.00	43	230

^aFreitas et al. (1996a), ^bFreitas (1996b), ^cFreitas (unpublished data), ^dNebel et al. (2001).



FIG. 3. Distribution of trees by diameter class. Ninety per cent of trees were below 30 cm dbh.

stilt or buttress roots. There are many ecological factors, such as terrain, forest age, species composition and soil conditions (Milliken, 1998), which contribute to the presence of stilt or buttress roots, and the high percentage reported in this study is due to the dominance of stilt root producing palms, such as *Iriartea deltoidea* Ruiz & Pav. Thirty-eight trees (6%) were leaning, in one case horizontal and alive.

Floristic diversity

The 618 individuals surveyed belonged to a total of 230 species, 106 genera and 43 families. The family concept followed APG II (Angiosperm Phylogeny Group, 2003). Of the 230 presumed species 51% could be named (includes aff. and cf. names), representing 70% of the total number of individuals. Another 38% of taxa were identified only to genus and 10% only to family level. These taxa were recognised by their distinct morphological characters and were labelled accordingly (e.g. *Inga* sp.2, Bignoniaceae sp.1). There were also three taxa that could not be identified even to family level.

The diversity of species found in this plot of palm-dominated creek forest is high compared to other palm-dominated forests in Jenaro Herrera. In comparison, black water palm forests have 58 species per hectare (Freitas, 1996a) and low terrace palm forests 158 species per hectare (Freitas, 1996b). This high species diversity is more similar to terra firme forests in the area, such as the low terrace broadleaf forest or the high terrace forest (Table 2), indicating the high influence of the surrounding forest. While some streamside species dominate the plot, such as *Iriartea deltoidea* (Arecaceae) or *Carapa procera* DC. (Meliaceae), other species are more representative of the

Jenaro Herrera terra firme forest, such as those that belong to the families Sapotaceae, Lecythidaceae and Chrysobalanaceae. Terra firme forests in the Amazon are noted for their high species diversity. Gentry (1988) reported between 180 and 300 species per hectare from Amazonian Peru, Milliken (1998) found 201 species from one hectare of Brazilian terra firme, while Balslev *et al.* (1987) estimated 228 species per hectare in Amazonian Ecuador. Lower figures have been reported in southwestern and eastern Amazonia. For example, Campbell *et al.* (1986) reported 118 to 162 species per hectare from the Rio Xingu in Brazil, and Boom (1986) reported 94 species per hectare from terra firme in Amazonian Bolivia. Results presented by Pitman *et al.* (2002) from the Manu National Park in southwestern Amazonia showed mean species per hectare of 174 (range 126–217) and from Yasuní, Ecuador in northwestern Amazonia mean species per hectare of 239 (range 188–295).

The most diverse family in this study was Fabaceae with 30 species in 13 genera, followed by Sapotaceae (23 spp., six genera), Moraceae (21 spp., nine genera) and Euphorbiaceae (12 spp., eight genera). The most diverse genera were *Pouteria* (Sapotaceae) with 11 species, followed by *Eschweilera* (Lecythidaceae) and *Inga* (Fabaceae) with six species each, and *Pourouma* (Urticaceae), *Trichilia* (Meliaceae) and *Guarea* (Meliaceae) with five species each.

The species-area curve, i.e. the rate at which new species were encountered when moving through the subplots, is shown in Fig. 4. The non-asymptotic nature of the species-area curve may be due to the fact that the 230 species (106 genera,



FIG. 4. Species-area curve for one hectare of palm-dominated creek forest (cumulative).

43 families) reflect only part of the overall diversity of this forest type; in a similar study of terra firme forest Campbell *et al.* (1986) found that the species–area curve levelled off after 1.5 hectares and appeared to be reaching asymptote after 3 hectares.

Importance value index (IVI) analysis

The five most important families in the plot were Arecaceae, Fabaceae, Meliaceae, Euphorbiaceae and Sapotaceae (Table 3). The dominance of Arecaceae in this plot is likely to be due to the periodic flooding that this area undergoes. This family tends to dominate the permanently flooded forests and other poorly drained soils in terra firme of Jenaro Herrera (Kahn & Mejia, 1991; Honorio *et al.*, 2008). Kahn & Mejia (1990) found that large, arborescent palms are frequent in wetland forests on waterlogged or inundated soils, and Wittmann *et al.* (2006) found that Arecaceae was the fifth most important family overall and the most important in high várzea forests. A high importance value for Fabaceae was expected; this family is also important in other vegetation types in Jenaro Herrera (Honorio *et al.*, 2008) and tends to dominate across Amazon forests, according to ter Steege (2000).

The most important species (Table 4) were *Iriartea deltoidea* (IVI 21.9), followed by *Oenocarpus bataua* Mart. (IVI 18.7), *Carapa procera* (IVI 17.4), *Hevea nitida* Müll.Arg. (IVI 8.4), *Euterpe precatoria* Mart. (IVI 6.9) and *Iryanthera juruensis* Warb. (IVI 6.7). Their cumulative basal area made up 29% of the total basal area of the hectare and approximately 25% of the total IVI. The 27 most important species (Table 4) represent half of the total importance value of the plot, while 76% of all species were represented by only one individual.

According to Svenning & Balslev (1999), *Iriartea deltoidea* prefers poorly drained streamsides in eastern, northern and southern Amazonia but is abundant on well-drained terra firme forest in the rest of its range. The abundance for *Iriartea deltoidea* found in this study (61 individuals/ha) is higher than those previously reported on terra firme forests from Ecuador, southern Peru (45–49 ind./ha; Pitman *et al.*, 2001), and northern Peru (1–15 ind./ha; Pitman *et al.*, 2008). This study represents a new record for northwestern Amazonia where *Iriartea deltoidea* can be abundant on poorly drained soils, occurring as it does here in an area subject to intermittent flooding.

The next five most important species in this study are all known to be species that do well in areas that are flooded periodically. These included two large arborescent palms, *Oenocarpus bataua* and *Euterpe precatoria*. Kahn *et al.* (1988) found that large arborescent palms are generally more common in seasonally inundated forests than in terra firme forests. *Oenocarpus bataua* is found on a number of soil types from well-drained terra firme areas in Ecuador and on poorly drained soils near Iquitos, Peru (Montufar & Pintaud, 2006), similar to the area in this study. Cintra *et al.* (2005) also suggest that the extensive range of this species indicates that it is tolerant of a wide range of environmental conditions. The topographical variation in this plot allows a species such as this to thrive. Svenning (1999) found that

Family	No. of trees	No. of species	Basal area	FIV
Arecaceae	153	7	4.56	52.4
Fabaceae	66	31	4.21	36.5
Meliaceae	53	11	2.05	24.3
Sapotaceae	43	27	1.99	22.8
Euphorbiaceae	45	12	1.71	20.6
Moraceae	31	22	1.31	16.6
Myristicaceae	33	9	1.29	16.5
Urticaceae	25	11	0.85	13.0
Lecythidaceae	16	7	0.60	8.5
Malvaceae	16	8	0.53	8.5
Annonaceae	17	9	0.40	8.2
Rubiaceae	14	8	0.40	8.0
Burseraceae	14	9	0.53	7.9
Lauraceae	15	12	0.35	7.6
Chrysobalanaceae	7	7	0.74	6.3
Clusiaceae	8	4	0.38	5.3
Sabiaceae	10	4	0.16	5.3
Anacardiaceae	4	4	0.12	2.5
Apocynaceae	4	3	0.12	2.5
Combretaceae	5	3	0.06	2.1
Myrtaceae	4	4	0.05	1.9
Olacaceae	3	2	0.06	1.8
Elaeocarpaceae	3	3	0.06	1.7
Nyctaginaceae	3	2	0.05	1.7
Violaceae	3	2	0.06	1.7
Vochysiaceae	2	1	0.16	1.7
Melastomataceae	3	3	0.04	1.7
Lepidobotryaceae	1	1	0.22	1.4
Icacinaceae	2	2	0.05	1.2
Salicaceae	2	2	0.06	1.2
Theaceae	1	1	0.17	1.2
Rutaceae	2	1	0.02	1.1
Proteaceae	1	1	0.07	0.8
Aquifoliaceae	1	1	0.05	0.7
Malpighiaceae	1	1	0.04	0.7
Araliaceae	1	1	0.03	0.6
Bignoniaceae	1	1	0.02	0.6
Caryocaraceae	1	1	0.03	0.6
Linaceae	1	1	0.03	0.6
Menispermaceae	1	1	0.01	0.6
Boraginaceae	1	1	0.01	0.5
Ochnaceae	1	1	0.01	0.5

TABLE 3. List of families found in the plot ranked by FIV (family importance value), showing tree and species numbers and total basal area for each family

Species	Family	Abundance	IVI
Iriartea deltoidea Ruiz & Pav.	Arecaceae	61	21.9
Oenocarpus bataua Mart.	Arecaceae	47	18.7
Carapa procera DC.	Meliaceae	36	17.4
Hevea nitida Mart. ex Müll.Arg.	Euphorbiaceae	17	8.4
Euterpe precatoria Mart.	Arecaceae	17	6.9
Iryanthera juruensis Warb.	Myristicaceae	18	6.7
Ormosia sp.1	Fabaceae	12	6.4
Pithecellobium sp.1	Fabaceae	1	4.4
Socratea exorrhiza (Mart.) H.Wendl.	Arecaceae	13	4.4
Tachigali paniculata Aubl.	Fabaceae	7	4.4
Virola albidiflora Ducke	Myristicaceae	6	4.3
Pourouma bicolor Mart.	Urticaceae	6	3.4
Attalea insignis (Mart. ex H.Wendl.) Drude	Arecaceae	5	3.3
Himatanthus sp.2	Apocynaceae	8	3.3
<i>Inga</i> sp.2	Fabaceae	6	3.2
Astrocaryum murumuru Mart.	Arecaceae	7	3.1
Cecropia ficifolia Warb. ex Snethl.	Urticaceae	8	2.9
Ormosia sp.2	Fabaceae	6	2.9
Sterculia cf. frondosa Rich.	Malvaceae	5	2.8
Trichilia aff. pleeana (A.Juss.) C.DC.	Meliaceae	7	2.6
Eschweilera cf. coriacea (DC.) S.A.Mori	Lecythidaceae	6	2.5
Pouteria sp.5	Sapotaceae	1	2.5
Rubiaceae sp.2	Rubiaceae	5	2.5
Croton palanostigma Klotzsch	Euphorbiaceae	5	2.4
Protium aff. nodulosum Swart	Burseraceae	5	2.3
Coussapoa trinervia Spruce ex Mildbr.	Urticaceae	4	2.2
Parinari sp.1	Chrysobalanaceae	2	2.2

TABLE 4. The top 27 species of tree from the plot with their IVI values and abundances. A full list of species and their abundance, frequency, dominance and IVI is presented in the Appendix

Oenocarpus bataua and *Euterpe precatoria* shared a microhabitat preference in his study site in Ecuador, but that *E. precatoria* was much more abundant on a nearby floodplain forest.

The high importance of *Carapa procera* in this study correlates well with the findings of Pennington *et al.* (1981), who found that *C. procera* is known to grow on swampy ground, at the edges of mangroves, on river banks and creeks and in low-lying seasonally or permanently flooded forest. *Hevea* spp. are also known from floodplains and can grow with trunks submerged (Seibert, 1947), suggesting that *H. nitida* is tolerant of occasional inundation in this forest type. *Iryanthera juruensis*, the sixth most important species in this study, was found by Boom (1986) to be one of the most frequent species in a hectare of terra firme forest in Amazonian Bolivia. Myristicaceae is one of the most important families in the western Amazon, with Boom's study ranking it as the second most important after Moraceae.

Haugaasen & Peres (2006) showed that rich floristic variation in terra firme forest is a result of habitat heterogeneity derived from a combination of edaphic and ecological conditions. Pitman et al. (2001), Macía & Svenning (2005) and Honorio et al. (2009) linked floristic affinity in the Amazon to local ecological conditions and demonstrated that soil fertility influenced the distribution of species in western Amazonia. Previous work has demonstrated that the most common species in terra firme forests of Jenaro Herrera belong to families such as Fabaceae, Lecythidaceae, Sapotaceae and Chrysobalanaceae that are characteristic of low-fertility soils (Honorio et al., 2008, 2009). The current research supports the observation that within the western Amazon region proximity is not always correlated with similar floristic composition (Honorio et al., 2009), as the current study demonstrates a very different suite of dominant families to that of a previous study within the area of Jenaro Herrera (Honorio et al., 2008). Indeed, Honorio et al. (2008) postulate that studies based on the family, and even generic level taxonomic scale, are too coarse to allow meaningful environmental correlations. For such conclusions it is necessary to work at the specific level, a fact that has also been pointed out by the RAINFOR group (Phillips & Baker, 2002).

The dramatic differences observed between forest types reported in this study and that of Honorio *et al.* (2008, 2009) may be explained by periodic flooding of the current study plot resulting in a different floristic composition than that found generally in terra firme in Jenaro Herrera, and support the theory of habitat heterogeneity giving rise to a mosaic of forest types in the Amazon.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Royal Geographical Society, Rio Tinto Plc, Royal Scottish Geographical Society, the Davis Expedition Fund, the William Dickson Travel Fund, and the Anglo-Peruvian Society for financial support; the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, Instituto de Investigaciones de la Amazonía Peruana, Centro de Investigaciones Jenaro Herrera and the Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina for logistical support; and H. Vásquez, J. Irarica and J. Mozambite for assistance in the field. We also thank James Richardson, Jimmy Ratter and Samuel Bridgewater for comments on the text, Nick Gray for help with figures, and Carlos Reynel, Nállarett M. Dávila C., Radolfo Vásquez Martínez, Barry Hammel, Lars W. Chatrou and Charlotte M. Taylor for help with identification.

References

- ANGIOSPERM PHYLOGENY GROUP (2003). An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG II. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 141: 399–436.
- BALSLEV, H., LUTEYN, J., ØLLGAARD, B. & HOLM-NIELSEN, L. (1987). Composition and structure of adjacent unflooded and floodplain forest in Amazonian Ecuador. *Opera Bot.* 92: 37–57.

BOOM, B. M. (1986). A forest inventory in Amazonian Bolivia. Biotropica 18(4): 287-294.

- CAMPBELL, D. G., DALY, D. C., PRANCE, G. T. & MACIEL, U. N. (1986). Quantitative ecological inventory of terra firme and várzea tropical forest on the Rio Xingu, Brazilian Amazon. *Brittonia* 38(4): 369–393.
- CINTRA, R., DE CARVALHO XIMENES, A., GONDIM, A. F. R. & KROPF, M. A. (2005). Forest spatial heterogeneity and palm richness, abundance and community composition in Terra Firme forest, Central Amazon. *Revista Brasil. Bot.* 28(1): 75–84.
- EDEN, J. E. (1990). Ecology and Land Management in Amazonia. London: Belhaven Press.
- FREITAS, L. (1996a). Caracterización florística y estructural de cuatro comunidades boscosas de la llanura aluvial inundable en la zona de Jenaro Herrera, Amazonía Peruana. Documento Técnico N° 21. IIAP.
- FREITAS, L. (1996b). Caracterización florística y estructural de cuatro comunidades boscosas de terraza baja en la zona de Jenaro Herrera, Amazonía Peruana. Documento Técnico N° 26. IIAP.
- GENTRY, A. H. (1988). Tree species richness of upper Amazonian forests. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85: 156–159.
- HAUGAASEN, T. & PERES, C. A. (2006). Floristic, edaphic and structural characteristics of flooded and unflooded forests in the lower Rio Purús region of central Amazonia, Brazil. *Acta Amazon.* 36(1): 25–36.
- HONORIO, E., PENNINGTON, R. T., FREITAS, L., NEBEL, G. & BAKER, T. (2008). Análisis de la composición florística de los bosques de Jenaro Herrera, Loreto, Peru. *Revista Peru. Biol.* 15(1): 53–60.
- HONORIO CORONADO, E. N., BAKER, T. R., PHILLIPS, O. L., PITMAN, N. C. A., PENNINGTON, R. T., VÁSQUEZ MARTÍNEZ, R. *ET AL.* (2009). Multi-scale comparisons of tree composition in Amazonian terra firme forests. *Biogeosciences* 6: 2719–2731.
- KAHN, F. & MEJIA, K. (1990). Palm communities in wetland forest ecosystems of Peruvian Amazonia. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 33/34: 169–179.
- KAHN, F. & MEJIA, K. (1991). The palm communities of two "terra firme" forests in Peruvian Amazonia. *Principes* 35(1): 22–26.
- KAHN, F., MEJIA, K. & DE CASTRO, A. (1988). Species richness and density of palms in terra firme forests of Amazonia. *Biotropica* 20(4): 266–269.
- LOPEZ-PARODI, J. & FREITAS, D. (1990). Geographical aspects of forested wetlands in the lower Ucayali, Peruvian Amazonia. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 33/34: 157–168.
- MACÍA, M. J. & SVENNING, J-C. (2005). Oligarchic dominance in western Amazonian plant communities. J. Trop. Ecol. 21(6): 613–626.
- MALHI, Y., PHILLIPS, O. L., LLOYD, J., BAKER, T., WRIGHT, J., ALMEIDA, S. *ET AL.* (2002). An international network to monitor the structure, composition and dynamics of Amazonian forests (RAINFOR). *J. Veg. Sci.* 13: 439–450.
- MILLIKEN, W. (1998). Structure and composition of one hectare of central Amazonian terra firme forest. *Biotropica* 30(4): 530–537.
- MONTUFAR, R. & PINTAUD, J.-C. (2006). Variation in species composition, abundance and microhabitat preferences among western Amazonian terra firme palm communities. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 151: 127–140.
- MORI, A. S., BOOM, B. N., CARVALHO, A. M. & SANTOS, T. S. (1983). Southern Bahian moist forests. *Bot. Rev.* 49(2): 155–232.
- NEBEL, G., KVIST, L. P., VANCLAY, J. K., CHRISTENSEN, H., FREITAS, L. & RUIZ, J. (2001). Structure and floristic composition of flood plain forest in the Peruvian Amazon. I. Overstory. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 150: 27–57.
- PENNINGTON, T., STYLES, B. T. & TAYLOR, D. A. H. (1981). Meliaceae with accounts of Swietenioideae & Chemotaxonomy. *Flora Neotropica* 28: 1–470.

- PHILLIPS, O. & BAKER, T. (2002). *Field Manual for Plot Establishment and Remeasurement*. Available at: www.eci.ox.ac.uk/research/ecodynamics/panamazonia/ rainfor_field_manual_english.pdf
- PITMAN, N. C. A., TERBORGH, J. W., SILMAN, M. R., NÚŇEZ, V. P., NEILL, D. A., CERÓN, C. E. *et al.* (2001). Dominance and distribution of tree species in upper Amazonian terra firme forests. *Ecology* 82(8): 2101–2117.
- PITMAN, N. C. A., TERBORGH, J. W., SILMAN, M. R., NÚŇEZ, V. P., NEILL, D. A., CERÓN, C. E. *et al.* (2002). A comparison of tree species diversity in two upper Amazonian forests. *Ecology* 83(11): 3210–3224.
- PITMAN, N., MOGOLLÓN, H., DÁVILA, N., RÍOS, M., GARCÍA-VILLACORTA, R., GUEVARA, J. *ET AL*. (2008). Tree community change across 700 km of lowland Amazonian forest from the Andean foothills to Brazil. *Biotropica* 40(5): 525–535.
- QUESADA, C. A., LLOYD, J., SCHWARZ, M., PATIÑO, M., BAKER, T. R., CZIMCZIK, C. *ET AL.* (2010). Variations in chemical and physical properties of Amazon forest soils in relation to their genesis. *Biogeosciences* 7: 1515–1541.
- SEIBERT, R. J. (1947). A study of *Hevea* (with its economic aspects) in the Republic of Peru. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 34: 261–353.
- SVENNING, J-C. (1999). Microhabitat specialization in a species-rich palm community in Amazon Ecuador. J. Ecol. 87: 55–65.
- SVENNING, J-C. & BALSLEV, H. (1999). Microhabitat-dependent recruitment of *Iriartea* deltoidea (Arecaceae) in Amazonian Ecuador. *Ecotropica* 5(69): 69–74.
- TER STEEGE, H. (2000). Plant diversity in Guyana: with recommendations for a National Protected Area strategy. *TBI* 18: 19–34.
- TER STEEGE, H., PITMAN, N., SABATIER, D., CASTELLANOS, H., VAN DER HOUT, P., DALY, D. C. *ET AL*. (2003). A spatial model of tree alpha-diversity and tree density for the Amazon. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 12: 2255–2277.
- VORMISTO, J. (2002). Palms as rainforest resources: how evenly are they distributed in Peruvian Amazonia? *Biodivers. Conserv.* 11: 1025–1045.
- WITTMANN, F., SCHÖNGART, J., MONTERO, J. C., MOTZER, T., JUNK, W. J., PIEDADE, M. T. F. *ET AL.* (2006). Tree species composition and diversity gradients in white-water forests across the Amazon Basin. *J. Biogeogr.* 33(8): 1334–1347.

Received 17 September 2010; accepted for publication 13 January 2012

Appendix

Species list with absolute abundance (A Abs), relative abundance (A Rel), relative frequency (F Rel), relative dominance (D Rel) and importance value index (IVI). Authorities verified from the Missouri Botanical Garden TROPICOS website. Palms were identified in the field and herbarium specimens (voucher) were collected using Mariano Alvez's numbers (these numbers are equivalent to those of the tree tags).

Family	Species	Voucher	A Abs	A Rel	F Rel	D Rel	IVI
Anacardiaceae	Campnosperma gummifera (Benth.) Marchand	168	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Anacardiaceae	Tapirira guianensis Aubl.	367	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Anacardiaceae	Tapirira retusa Ducke	27	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Anacardiaceae	Tapirira sp.1	340	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Annonaceae	Annona sp.1	287	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Annonaceae	Guatteria elata R.E.Fr.	240	1	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.8
Annonaceae	Guatteria sp.1	156, 176	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	1.0
Annonaceae	Guatteria sp.2	24	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Annonaceae	Guatteria sp.3	253	3	0.5	0.6	0.1	1.2
Annonaceae	Malmea sp.1	212	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.9
Annonaceae	Unonopsis floribunda Diels	38, 114	4	0.6	0.8	0.4	1.8
Annonaceae	<i>Xylopia</i> sp.1	39	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Annonaceae	Xylopia sp.2	166	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.9
Apocynaceae	Aspidosperma sp.1	325	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Apocynaceae	Aspidosperma sp.2	270	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Apocynaceae	Himatanthus sp.1	84, 91	3	0.5	0.6	0.4	1.5
Apocynaceae	Himatanthus sp.2	173	8	1.3	1.3	1.3	3.8
Aquifoliaceae	Ilex nayana Cuatrec.	189	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Araliaceae	Schefflera morototoni (Aubl.) Maguire et al.	408	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Arecaceae	Astrocaryum chambira Burret		3	0.5	0.6	0.5	1.6
Arecaceae	Astrocaryum murumuru Mart.		7	1.1	1.3	0.7	3.1
Arecaceae	Attalea insignis (Mart. ex H.Wendl.) Drude		5	0.8	0.8	1.6	3.3
Arecaceae	Euterpe precatoria Mart.		17	2.8	2.7	1.4	6.9
Arecaceae	Iriartea deltoidea Ruiz & Pav.		61	9.9	4.4	7.6	21.9

Arecaceae	Oenocarpus bataua Mart.		47	7.6	4.2	6.8	18.7
Arecaceae	Socratea exorrhiza (Mart.) H.Wendl.		13	2.1	1.7	0.7	4.4
Bignoniaceae	Bignoniaceae sp.1	377	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Boraginaceae	Cordia sp.1	18	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Burseraceae	Dacryodes sp.1	593	1	0.2	0.2	0.8	1.2
Burseraceae	Protium aff. nodulosum Swart	149, 187	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Burseraceae	Protium cf. opacum Swart	129	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Burseraceae	Protium paniculatum Engl.	492	5	0.8	1.1	0.5	2.3
Burseraceae	Protium sagotianum Marchand	610	2	0.3	0.4	0.3	1.1
Burseraceae	Protium spruceanum (Benth.) Engl.	143	2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.7
Burseraceae	Protium subserratum (Engl.) Engl.	480	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Burseraceae	Protium sp.1	457	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Cardiopteridaceae	Dendrobangia boliviana Rusby	623	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Caryocaraceae	Caryocar aff. harlingii Prance & Encarn.	568	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Chrysobalanaceae	Licania latifolia Benth. ex Hook.f.	595	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Chrysobalanaceae	Licania sp.1	621	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Chrysobalanaceae	Parinari excelsa Sabine	446	1	0.2	0.2	1.0	1.4
Chrysobalanaceae	Parinari sp.1	390, 598	2	0.3	0.4	1.4	2.2
Chrysobalanaceae	Chrysobalanaceae sp.1	469	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Clusiaceae	Calophyllum brasiliense Cambess.	533	1	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.3
Clusiaceae	Caraipa sp.1	104	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.8
Clusiaceae	Tovomita weddelliana Planch. & Triana	21	4	0.6	0.8	0.5	2.0
Clusiaceae	Vismia pozuzoensis Engl.	490	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Combretaceae	Buchenavia sp.1	211	2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6
Combretaceae	Terminalia oblonga (Ruiz & Pav.) Steud.	209	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Elaeocarpaceae	Sloanea macrantha Ducke	563	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Elaeocarpaceae	Sloanea sp.1	534	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Elaeocarpaceae	Sloanea sp.2	606	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Euphorbiaceae	Alchornea triplinervia (Spreng.) Müll.Arg.	185	4	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.9
Euphorbiaceae	Alchornea sp.1	276	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Euphorbiaceae	Alchornea sp.2	138	2	0.3	0.4	0.5	1.2
Euphorbiaceae	Conceveiba rhytidocarpa Müll.Arg.	59, 160	4	0.6	0.8	0.6	2.1

Euphorbiaceae	Conceveiba sp.1	607	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Euphorbiaceae	Croton palanostigma Klotzsch	47, 262	5	0.8	0.6	0.9	2.4
Euphorbiaceae	Glycydendron amazonicum Ducke	405	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Euphorbiaceae	Hevea nitida Mart. ex Müll.Arg.	22, 50, 53, 89,	17	2.8	2.3	3.4	8.4
		97, 109, 208					
Euphorbiaceae	Hyeronima sp.1	28, 58, 62	3	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.9
Euphorbiaceae	Mabea aff. piriri Aubl.	535	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Euphorbiaceae	Mabea cf. speciosa Müll.Arg.	15	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Euphorbiaceae	Nealchornea yapurensis Huber	154	4	0.6	0.8	0.4	1.9
Fabaceae	Batesia floribunda Spruce ex Benth.	12	1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7
Fabaceae	Cedrelinga cateniformis (Ducke) Ducke	30	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Fabaceae	Erythrina sp.1	567	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Fabaceae	Hymenaea sp.1	355	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Fabaceae	Hymenaea sp.2	588	1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.8
Fabaceae	Inga aff. megaphylla Poncy & Vester	481	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Fabaceae	Inga sp.1	180	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Fabaceae	Inga sp.2	115	6	1.0	0.8	1.3	3.2
Fabaceae	Inga sp.3	473	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Fabaceae	Inga sp.4	426	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Fabaceae	Inga sp.5	495	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Fabaceae	Macrolobium gracile Spruce ex Benth.	7	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Fabaceae	Macrolobium sp.1	162	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.9
Fabaceae	Ormosia sp.1	72, 73, 75,	12	1.9	2.1	2.3	6.4
		121, 153					
Fabaceae	Ormosia sp.2	71	6	1.0	1.1	0.9	2.9
Fabaceae	Ormosia sp.3	599	1	0.2	0.2	0.6	1.0
Fabaceae	Parkia multijuga Benth.	223, 228	5	0.8	0.6	0.7	2.1
Fabaceae	Pithecellobium auriculatum Benth.	130	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Fabaceae	Pithecellobium sp.1	575	1	0.2	0.2	4.1	4.4
Fabaceae	Swartzia polyphylla DC.	227	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Fabaceae	Tachigali paniculata Aubl.	456, 482,	7	1.1	0.8	2.4	4.4
		496, 514					

Fabaceae	Tachigali sp.1	601	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Fabaceae	Tachigali sp.2	454, 605	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.8
Fabaceae	Vatairea guianensis Aubl.	214	2	0.3	0.4	2.2	3.0
Fabaceae	Leguminosae sp.1	296	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Fabaceae	Leguminosae sp.2	435	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Fabaceae	Leguminosae sp.3	437	1	0.2	0.2	0.6	1.0
Fabaceae	Leguminosae sp.4	244	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Fabaceae	Leguminosae sp.5	468, 633	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.8
Fabaceae	Leguminosae sp.6	462	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Icacinaceae	Calatola venezuelana Pittier	81	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Lauraceae	Chlorocardium venenosum (Kosterm. &	617	1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6
	Pinkley) Rohwer et al.						
Lauraceae	Endlicheria dysodantha (Ruiz & Pav.) Mez	69	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Lauraceae	Endlicheria sp.1	140	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Lauraceae	Ocotea cf. aciphylla (Nees) Mez	365	4	0.6	0.6	0.3	1.6
Lauraceae	Ocotea dielsiana O.Schmidt	85	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Lauraceae	Ocotea gracilis (Meisn.) Mez	137	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Lauraceae	Ocotea sp.1	63	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Lauraceae	Lauraceae sp.1	488	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Lauraceae	Lauraceae sp.2	428	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Lauraceae	Lauraceae sp.3	590	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Lauraceae	Lauraceae sp.4	611	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Lauraceae	Lauraceae sp.5	549	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Lauraceae	Lauraceae sp.6	627	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Lecythidaceae	Cariniana decandra Ducke	434	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Lecythidaceae	Couratari sp.1	624	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Lecythidaceae	Eschweilera cf. coriacea (DC.) S.A.Mori	241	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Lecythidaceae	Eschweilera gigantea (R.Knuth) J.F.Macbr.	619	6	1.0	0.8	0.6	2.5
Lecythidaceae	Eschweilera tessmannii R.Knuth	609	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Lecythidaceae	Eschweilera sp.1	48	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Lecythidaceae	Eschweilera sp.2	536, 538	2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.8
Lecythidaceae	Eschweilera sp.3	504, 506	2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.9

Lepidobotryaceae	<i>Ruptiliocarpon caracolito</i> Hammel & N.Zamora	43	1	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.3
Linaceae	Roucheria sp.1	174	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Malpighiaceae	Malpighiaceae sp.1	133	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Malvaceae	Apeiba membranacea Spruce ex Benth.	465	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Malvaceae	<i>Matisia intricata</i> (A.Robyns & S.Nilsson) W.S.Alverson	98, 230	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.9
Malvaceae	Matisia sp.1	474	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Malvaceae	Sterculia cf. frondosa Rich.	135, 175, 311	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Malvaceae	Sterculia pruriens (Aubl.) K.Schum.	339	5	0.8	1.1	1.0	2.8
Malvaceae	Theobroma guianensis (Aubl.) J.G.Gmel.	172, 312	3	0.5	0.6	0.2	1.4
Malvaceae	Theobroma subincanum Mart.	107	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	1.0
Melastomataceae	Miconia punctata (Desr.) D.Don ex DC.	415	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Melastomataceae	Miconia cf. splendens (Sw.) Griseb.	243	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Melastomataceae	Votomita sp.1	116	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Meliaceae	Carapa procera DC.	1, 35, 55, 90, 95,	36	5.8	4.0	7.6	17.4
		112, 134, 150					
Meliaceae	Guarea aff. cinnamomea Harms	383	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Meliaceae	Guarea guidonia (L.) Sleumer	603	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Meliaceae	Guarea sp.1	565	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Meliaceae	Guarea sp.2	511	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Meliaceae	Guarea sp.3	519	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Meliaceae	Trichilia aff. pleeana (A.Juss.) C.DC.	263	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Meliaceae	Trichilia poeppigii C.DC.	338	7	1.1	1.1	0.4	2.6
Meliaceae	Trichilia septentrionalis C.DC.	414	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Meliaceae	Trichilia sp.1	322	2	0.3	0.4	0.3	1.0
Meliaceae	Trichilia sp.2	558	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Menispermaceae	Abuta cf. grandifolia (Mart.) Sandwith	151	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Moraceae	Brosimum parinarioides Ducke	348	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	1.0
Moraceae	Brosimum utile (Kunth) Oken ex J.Presl	301	3	0.5	0.6	1.5	2.6
Moraceae	Brosimum sp.1	612	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Moraceae	Castilla ulei Warb.	123	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.9

Moraceae	Ficus sp.1	439	1	0.2	0.2	1.0	1.4
Moraceae	Helicostylis tomentosa (Poepp. & Endl.) Rusby	196, 249	2	0.3	0.4	0.5	1.2
Moraceae	Naucleopsis krukovii (Standl.) C.C.Berg	65	1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6
Moraceae	Naucleopsis ulei (Warb.) Ducke	167	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.9
Moraceae	Naucleopsis sp.1	292	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Moraceae	Naucleopsis sp.2	587	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Moraceae	Perebea guianensis Aubl.	522	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.9
Moraceae	Perebea sp.1	347	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Moraceae	Pseudolmedia laevigata Trécul	147	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.9
Moraceae	Pseudolmedia laevis (Ruiz & Pav.)	557, 572, 573,	4	0.6	0.4	0.6	1.7
	J.F.Macbr.	626					
Moraceae	Sorocea hirtella Mildbr.	636	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Moraceae	Sorocea cf. muriculata Miq.	582	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Moraceae	Sorocea opima J.F.Macbr.	13	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.9
Moraceae	Moraceae sp.1	637	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Myristicaceae	Iryanthera juruensis Warb.	108, 313	18	2.9	1.9	1.9	6.7
Myristicaceae	Iryanthera macrophylla (Benth.) Warb.	51	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.8
Myristicaceae	Iryanthera sp.1	444, 475	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Myristicaceae	Iryanthera sp.2	486	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.8
Myristicaceae	Otoba parvifolia (Markgr.) A.H.Gentry	25, 54	2	0.3	0.4	0.4	1.2
Myristicaceae	Virola albidiflora Ducke	9,88	6	1.0	1.1	2.3	4.3
Myristicaceae	Myristicaceae sp.1	629	1	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.9
Myrtaceae	Eugenia sp.1	436	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Myrtaceae	Eugenia sp.2	556	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Myrtaceae	Myrciaria aff. floribunda (H.West ex Willd.)	60	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Maantaaaaa	O.Berg	442	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Myrtaceae	Myrtaceae sp.1	443	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Nyctaginaceae	Neea sp.1	125, 159, 246	4	0.6	0.8	0.2	1.7
Nyctaginaceae	Neea sp.2	271	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Ochnaceae	Cespedezia spathulata (Ruiz & Pav.) Planch.	122	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Olacaceae	Heisteria barbata Cuatrec.	258	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	1.0

Olacaceae	Tetrastylidium peruvianum Sleumer	430	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Proteaceae	Panopsis sp.1	3	1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7
Rubiaceae	Chimarrhis gentryana Delprete	32, 216	3	0.5	0.6	0.7	1.8
Rubiaceae	Warszewiczia coccinea (Vahl) Klotzsch	126	4	0.7	0.8	0.4	1.9
Rubiaceae	Simira cordifolia (Hook.f.) Steyerm.	177	1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7
Rubiaceae	Rubiaceae sp.1	257	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Rubiaceae	Rubiaceae sp.2	362	4	0.6	0.6	0.0	1.3
Rutaceae	Rutaceae sp.1	472, 625	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.8
Sabiaceae	Meliosma herbertii Rolfe	501	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Sabiaceae	Ophiocaryon heterophyllum (Benth.) Urb.	40	3	0.5	0.6	0.2	1.4
Sabiaceae	Ophiocaryon cf. manausense	139	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
	(W.A.Rodrigues) Barneby						
Sabiaceae	Ophiocaryon sp.1	251	5	0.8	0.8	0.4	2.0
Salicaceae	Casearia ulmifolia Vahl ex Vent.	11	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Salicaceae	Casearia sp.1	117	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Salicaceae	Salicaceae sp.1	451	1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7
Sapotaceae	Chrysophyllum manaosense (Aubrév.) T.D.Penn.	332	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Sapotaceae	Chrysophyllum sanguinolentum (Pierre) Baehni	127	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Sapotaceae	Chrysophyllum sp.1	485, 507	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	1.0
Sapotaceae	<i>Ecclinusa lanceolata</i> (Mart. & Eichler) Pierre	119, 202	2	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.9
Sapotaceae	Micropholis sp.1	197, 298, 356	4	0.6	0.8	0.2	1.7
Sapotaceae	Micropholis sp.2	457	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Sapotaceae	Micropholis sp.3	477	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Sapotaceae	Pouteria caimito (Ruiz & Pav.) Radlk.	152, 163, 181	3	0.5	0.6	0.3	1.5
Sapotaceae	Pouteria cuspidata (A.DC.) Baehni	583	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Sapotaceae	Pouteria hispida Eyma	561	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Sapotaceae	Pouteria procera (Mart.) K.Hammer	64	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Sapotaceae	Pouteria sp.1	29, 250	3	0.5	0.6	0.3	1.5
Sapotaceae	Pouteria sp.2	145, 146	2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.8

Sapotaceae	Pouteria sp.3	279	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Sapotaceae	Pouteria sp.4	220	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4
Sapotaceae	Pouteria sp.5	391	1	0.2	0.2	2.1	2.5
Sapotaceae	Pouteria sp.6	521	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Sapotaceae	Pouteria sp.7	602	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
Sapotaceae	Sarcaulus brasiliensis (A.DC.) Eyma	234	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Sapotaceae	Sapotaceae sp.1	410	1	0.2	0.2	0.6	1.0
Sapotaceae	Sapotaceae sp.2	632	1	0.2	0.2	0.8	1.2
Sapotaceae	Sapotaceae sp.3	463	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Theaceae	Gordonia sp.1	369	1	0.2	0.2	0.7	1.1
Unknown	Unknown sp.1	120*, 170*, 236*,	5	0.8	1.1	2.2	4.1
		508*, 532*					
Unknown	Unknown sp.2	179	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Unknown	Unknown sp.3	142	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Urticaceae	Cecropia ficifolia Warb. ex Snethl.	168	8	1.3	1.1	0.5	2.9
Urticaceae	Cecropia sp.1	453	1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7
Urticaceae	Coussapoa orthoneura Standl.	169	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Urticaceae	Coussapoa trinervia Spruce ex Mildbr.	37, 288	4	0.6	0.8	0.8	2.2
Urticaceae	Pourouma aff. acuminata Mart. ex Miq.	585	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Urticaceae	Pourouma bicolor Mart.	247, 308, 341	6	1.0	1.3	1.1	3.4
Urticaceae	Pourouma cf. cecropiifolia Mart.	46	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.9
Urticaceae	Pourouma minor Benoist	128	1	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.8
Urticaceae	Pourouma ovata Trécul	80	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Violaceae	Leonia glycycarpa Ruiz & Pav.	564	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Violaceae	Rinorea aff. racemosa (Mart.) Kuntze	213	2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.8
Vochysiaceae	Erisma bicolor Ducke	429	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Vochysiaceae	Qualea sp.1	616	1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.7
Vochysiaceae	Qualea sp.2	569	1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7
Total			618	100	100	100	300

*Individuals with no leaves, vouchers not available.